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- The bord $F^{\partial}$ of $F$ is a circle and $F^{\partial}=\pi_{1}\left(F^{\partial}, x_{0}\right)$ is infinite cyclic with "positive" generator $\gamma$.
- $\exists \pi_{1}\left(\Delta^{*}, t_{0}\right) \hookrightarrow G$ and $G=L \ltimes \pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}, 1\right)$.
- int $_{\delta}: \alpha \in L \mapsto \delta \alpha \delta^{-1} \in L$ corresponds to the geometric monodromy of the Milnor fibration ( $\delta \gamma \delta^{-1}=\gamma$ ).
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- $h_{0}=x^{2}-y^{3}:\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{C}, 0), \mu=2$.
- $h=x^{2}-y^{3}: X=\mathbb{C}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is good, $D=\{h=0\}$, $U=\mathbb{C}^{2}-U$ and $F=h^{-1}(1)$.
- $L=\pi_{1}\left(F, x_{0}\right)=\langle a, b\rangle, \gamma=a b a^{-1} b^{-1}$, $\delta a \delta^{-1}=b^{-1}, \delta b \delta^{-1}=b a$.
- $G=\pi_{1}\left(U, x_{0}\right)=\left\langle a, b, \delta ; \delta a \delta^{-1}=b^{-1}, \delta b \delta^{-1}=b a\right\rangle$.
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- But $(D, 0) \simeq(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ and perverse sheaves are well known...
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- Each of the data $\left(\mathcal{L}, \mathbf{F}, u: R \psi_{h} \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}, v: \mathbf{F} \rightarrow R \psi_{h} \mathcal{L}\right)$ can be explicitly described.
- $\mathcal{L}$ is given by a complex representation $G=\pi_{1}(U) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(E)$.
- $\mathbf{F}$ is given by a diagram of vector spaces
$\left(C_{1}, C_{2} ; p: C_{1} \rightarrow C_{2}, q: C_{2} \rightarrow C_{1}\right)$ with Id $+q \circ p$ is $\simeq$.
- ( $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{M})\left(R \psi_{h} \mathcal{L}, T_{\mathcal{L}}\right)$ is given by:
$\left(E, \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[L]}(I(L), E), U, V\right) \curvearrowright\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ with
$U: E \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[L]}(I(L), E), \quad U(e)(g)=g e$,
$\left.V: \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[L]}(I(L), E) \rightarrow E\right), \quad V(\varphi)=\varphi(\gamma-1)$,
and $t_{1}(e)=\delta^{-1} e, t_{2}(\varphi)(g)=\delta^{-1} \varphi\left(\delta g \delta^{-1}\right)$.
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$\left(C_{1}, C_{2} ; p: C_{1} \rightarrow C_{2}, q: C_{2} \rightarrow C_{1}\right)$ and $u_{1}: E \rightarrow C_{1}, v_{1}: C_{1} \rightarrow E$,
$u_{2}: \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[L]}(I(L), E) \rightarrow C_{2}, v_{2}: C_{2} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[L]}(I(L), E)$ with the corresponding commutativiy conditions and Id $+v_{1} \circ u_{1}=t_{1}$, Id $+v_{2} \circ u_{2}=t_{2}$, then:

$$
\mathrm{CC}(\mathbf{K})=m_{2} T_{X}^{*}(X)+m_{1} \overline{T_{D^{\text {reg }}}^{*}(X)}+m_{0} T_{0}^{*}(X),
$$

$m_{2}=\operatorname{dim} E, m_{1}=\operatorname{dim} C_{1}$,
$m_{0}=\mu \operatorname{dim} E+(1-e) \operatorname{dim} C_{1}+\operatorname{dim} C_{2}$.
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- $R \psi_{h} \mathcal{L}$ is the perverse sheaf on $D$ given by:

$$
\left(E, E^{2},\binom{A-I}{B-I},\left(B-B A B^{-1} A^{-1}, I-B A B^{-1}\right)\right)
$$

and $T_{\mathcal{L}}$ is given by:

$$
t_{1}=\Delta^{-1}, \quad t_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -\Delta^{-1} B^{-1} \\
\Delta^{-1} B^{-1} & \Delta^{-1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$
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- Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a local system on $U=X-D$.
- The intersection complex $j_{!*} \mathcal{L}$ is the perverse sheaf given by

$$
\left(\mathcal{L}, \operatorname{lm}\left(T_{\mathcal{L}}-1\right), T_{\mathcal{L}}-1, \text { inclusion }\right) .
$$

- If $\mathcal{L}$ is associated with the representation $G \rightarrow G L(E)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{CC}\left(j_{!} \mathcal{L}\right)=m_{2} T_{X}^{*}(X)+m_{1} \overline{T_{D^{\text {reg }}}^{*}(X)}+m_{0} T_{0}^{*}(X), \\
m_{2}= & \operatorname{dim} E, m_{1}=\operatorname{rank}\left(t_{1}-1\right), \\
m_{0}= & \operatorname{rank}\left(t_{2}-1\right)-\mu m_{2}+\left(\operatorname{mult}_{0}(D)-1\right) m_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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- For $z \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$, let $\mathcal{L}_{z}$ be the local system on $U$ of rank 1 given by $\varrho_{z}: G \rightarrow G L(1, \mathbb{C})=\mathbb{C}^{*}, \varrho_{z}(\delta)=z, \varrho_{z}(a)=\varrho_{z}(b)=1$.
- $t_{1}=z^{-1}, \quad t_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -z^{-1} \\ z^{-1} & z^{-1}\end{array}\right)$
- $\operatorname{CC}\left(j_{!*} \mathcal{L}_{z}\right)=T_{X}^{*}(X)+m_{1} \overline{T_{D^{\text {reg }}}^{*}(X)}+m_{0} T_{0}^{*}(X)$.
- If $z=1$ then $\mathcal{L}_{z}=\mathbb{C}_{U}$ and $j_{!} \mathcal{L}_{z}=\mathbb{C}_{X}, m_{1}=m_{0}=0$.
- If $z \neq 1$ then $m_{1}=1$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\text { if } & z^{2}-z+1=0 & \text { then } \quad m_{0}=0 \\
\text { if } & z^{2}-z+1 \neq 0 & \text { then } \\
m_{0}=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

- $z^{2}-z+1=0 \leftrightarrow z=e^{\frac{2 \pi i}{6}}$.
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- For $s, t \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ let $\mathcal{L}_{s, t}$ be $\leftrightarrow \varrho_{s, t}: G \rightarrow \operatorname{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ :

$$
\varrho_{s, t}(\delta)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\theta & 0 \\
0 & \theta^{2}
\end{array}\right), \varrho_{s, t}(a)=\varrho_{s, t}(b)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & s \\
t & 0
\end{array}\right), \theta=e^{\frac{2 \pi i}{3}}
$$

## Some examples of local systems of rank 2 on the complement of the cusp

- For $s, t \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ let $\mathcal{L}_{s, t}$ be $\leftrightarrow \varrho_{s, t}: G \rightarrow G L(2, \mathbb{C})$ :

$$
\varrho_{s, t}(\delta)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\theta & 0 \\
0 & \theta^{2}
\end{array}\right), \varrho_{s, t}(a)=\varrho_{s, t}(b)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & s \\
t & 0
\end{array}\right), \theta=e^{\frac{2 \pi i}{3}} .
$$

- The $\mathcal{L}_{s, t}$ are irreducible.


## Some examples of local systems of rank 2 on the complement of the cusp

- For $s, t \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ let $\mathcal{L}_{s, t}$ be $\leftrightarrow \varrho_{s, t}: G \rightarrow G L(2, \mathbb{C})$ :

$$
\varrho_{s, t}(\delta)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\theta & 0 \\
0 & \theta^{2}
\end{array}\right), \varrho_{s, t}(a)=\varrho_{s, t}(b)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & s \\
t & 0
\end{array}\right), \theta=e^{\frac{2 \pi i}{3}} .
$$

- The $\mathcal{L}_{s, t}$ are irreducible.
- $\operatorname{CC}\left(j_{!*} \mathcal{L}_{s, t}\right)=2 T_{X}^{*}(X)+m_{1} \overline{T_{D_{\text {reg }}}^{*}(X)}+m_{0} T_{0}^{*}(X)$.


## Some examples of local systems of rank 2 on the complement of the cusp

- For $s, t \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ let $\mathcal{L}_{s, t}$ be $\leftrightarrow \varrho_{s, t}: G \rightarrow G L(2, \mathbb{C})$ :

$$
\varrho_{s, t}(\delta)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\theta & 0 \\
0 & \theta^{2}
\end{array}\right), \varrho_{s, t}(a)=\varrho_{s, t}(b)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & s \\
t & 0
\end{array}\right), \theta=e^{\frac{2 \pi i}{3}} .
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- The $\mathcal{L}_{s, t}$ are irreducible.
- $\mathrm{CC}\left(j_{!*} \mathcal{L}_{s, t}\right)=2 T_{X}^{*}(X)+m_{1} \overline{T_{D_{\text {reg }}}^{*}(X)}+m_{0} T_{0}^{*}(X)$.

$$
m_{1}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
2 & \text { if } & s t \neq 1 \\
1 & \text { if } & s t=1
\end{array}, \quad m_{0}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
2 & \text { if } & s t \neq 1 \\
0 & \text { if } & s t=1
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

- $j_{!*} \mathcal{L}_{s, 1 / s}$ are simple perverse sheaves with

$$
\operatorname{Ch}\left(j_{!* *} \mathcal{L}_{s, 1 / s}\right)=T_{X}^{*}(X) \cup \overline{T_{D^{\text {reg }}}^{*}(X)} .
$$

Can we systematically find explicit systems of linear partial differential equations in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ giving rise to simple (germs at 0 of) regular holonomic $D$-modules stratified with respect (for instance) to a cusp with characteristic variety $T_{X}^{*}(X) \cup \overline{T_{D r e g}^{*}(X)}$ ?

Can we systematically find explicit systems of linear partial differential equations in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ giving rise to simple (germs at 0 of) regular holonomic $D$-modules stratified with respect (for instance) to a cusp with characteristic variety $T_{X}^{*}(X) \cup \overline{T_{D r e g}^{*}(X)}$ ?

Or still, can we find explicit systems of linear partial differential equations in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ (or $\left.\mathbb{C}^{d}\right)$ corresponding through the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence to "intersection complexes" as
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- From now on: $X=$ a connected complex analytic manifold and $D \subset X$ a divisor (= hypersurface), $d=\operatorname{dim} X, U=X-D$.
- $\operatorname{Der}(\log D)=$ the $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module of logarithmic vector fields along $D$. It is a submodule and a Lie subalgebra of $\operatorname{Der}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$.
- If $h \in \mathcal{O}_{X, p}$ is a reduced local equation of $D$ at $p$, then a germ of vector field $\delta \in \operatorname{Der}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X, p}\right)$ is logarithmic along $D$ if and only if $\delta(h) \in \mathcal{O}_{X, p} \cdot h$.
- If $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}$ is a system of local coordinates at $p$ and $\delta=\sum a_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}$, then $\delta$ is logarithmic along $D$ if and only if $\sum_{j} a_{j} h_{x_{j}}^{\prime}=a_{0} h$, and so the stalk at $p$ of $\operatorname{Der}(\log D)$ can be identified with the syzygies of $h, h_{x_{1}}^{\prime}, \ldots, h_{x_{d}}^{\prime}$.


## Logarithmic vector fields

- From now on: $X=$ a connected complex analytic manifold and $D \subset X$ a divisor (= hypersurface), $d=\operatorname{dim} X, U=X-D$.
- $\operatorname{Der}(\log D)=$ the $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module of logarithmic vector fields along $D$. It is a submodule and a Lie subalgebra of $\operatorname{Der}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$.
- If $h \in \mathcal{O}_{X, p}$ is a reduced local equation of $D$ at $p$, then a germ of vector field $\delta \in \operatorname{Der}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X, p}\right)$ is logarithmic along $D$ if and only if $\delta(h) \in \mathcal{O}_{X, p} \cdot h$.
- If $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}$ is a system of local coordinates at $p$ and $\delta=\sum a_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}$, then $\delta$ is logarithmic along $D$ if and only if $\sum_{j} a_{j} h_{x_{j}}^{\prime}=a_{0} h$, and so the stalk at $p$ of $\operatorname{Der}(\log D)$ can be identified with the syzygies of $h, h_{x_{1}}^{\prime}, \ldots, h_{x_{d}}^{\prime}$.
- $\operatorname{Der}(\log D)$ it is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module.


## Logarithmic vector fields

- From now on: $X=$ a connected complex analytic manifold and $D \subset X$ a divisor (= hypersurface), $d=\operatorname{dim} X, U=X-D$.
- $\operatorname{Der}(\log D)=$ the $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module of logarithmic vector fields along $D$. It is a submodule and a Lie subalgebra of $\operatorname{Der}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$.
- If $h \in \mathcal{O}_{X, p}$ is a reduced local equation of $D$ at $p$, then a germ of vector field $\delta \in \operatorname{Der}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X, p}\right)$ is logarithmic along $D$ if and only if $\delta(h) \in \mathcal{O}_{X, p} \cdot h$.
- If $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}$ is a system of local coordinates at $p$ and $\delta=\sum a_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}$, then $\delta$ is logarithmic along $D$ if and only if $\sum_{j} a_{j} h_{x_{j}}^{\prime}=a_{0} h$, and so the stalk at $p$ of $\operatorname{Der}(\log D)$ can be identified with the syzygies of $h, h_{x_{1}}^{\prime}, \ldots, h_{x_{d}}^{\prime}$.
- $\operatorname{Der}(\log D)$ it is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module.
- $\operatorname{Der}(\log D)$ is reflexive and its dual is $\Omega_{X}(\log D)$.


## Free divisors

## Free divisors

## Definition (Saito)

We say that $D$ is a free divisor if $\operatorname{Der}(\log D)\left(\right.$ or $\left.\Omega_{X}(\log D)\right)$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{x}$-module (necessarily of rank $d$ ).

## Free divisors

## Definition (Saito)

We say that $D$ is a free divisor if $\operatorname{Der}(\log D)\left(\right.$ or $\left.\Omega_{X}(\log D)\right)$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{x}$-module (necessarily of rank $d$ ).

## Example

The following classes of divisors are free:

## Free divisors

## Definition (Saito)

We say that $D$ is a free divisor if $\operatorname{Der}(\log D)\left(\right.$ or $\left.\Omega_{X}(\log D)\right)$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{x}$-module (necessarily of rank $d$ ).

## Example

The following classes of divisors are free:

- Normal crossing divisors. Plane curves.


## Free divisors

## Definition (Saito)

We say that $D$ is a free divisor if $\operatorname{Der}(\log D)\left(\right.$ or $\left.\Omega_{X}(\log D)\right)$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{x}$-module (necessarily of rank $d$ ).

## Example

The following classes of divisors are free:

- Normal crossing divisors. Plane curves.
- Discriminants (Arnold, Zakalyukin, Saito, Terao) and bifurcation sets (Bruce) of versal unfoldings of germs of holomorphic functions with an isolated critical point (and some generalizations by Buchweitz, Ebeling, Graf von Bothmer, Looijenga, Damon,..).


## Free divisors

## Definition (Saito)

We say that $D$ is a free divisor if $\operatorname{Der}(\log D)\left(\right.$ or $\left.\Omega_{X}(\log D)\right)$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{x}$-module (necessarily of rank $d$ ).

## Example

The following classes of divisors are free:

- Normal crossing divisors. Plane curves.
- Discriminants (Arnold, Zakalyukin, Saito, Terao) and bifurcation sets (Bruce) of versal unfoldings of germs of holomorphic functions with an isolated critical point (and some generalizations by Buchweitz, Ebeling, Graf von Bothmer, Looijenga, Damon,..).
- Linear free divisors (Buchweitz, Mond, Granger, Nieto, Schulze, Damon, Pike).


## Free divisors

## Definition (Saito)

We say that $D$ is a free divisor if $\operatorname{Der}(\log D)\left(\right.$ or $\left.\Omega_{X}(\log D)\right)$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{x}$-module (necessarily of rank $d$ ).

## Example

The following classes of divisors are free:

- Normal crossing divisors. Plane curves.
- Discriminants (Arnold, Zakalyukin, Saito, Terao) and bifurcation sets (Bruce) of versal unfoldings of germs of holomorphic functions with an isolated critical point (and some generalizations by Buchweitz, Ebeling, Graf von Bothmer, Looijenga, Damon,..).
- Linear free divisors (Buchweitz, Mond, Granger, Nieto, Schulze, Damon, Pike).
- New examples by adding "adjoint divisors" (Mond, Schulze).
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- $X=\mathbb{C}^{2}, h=x^{2}-y^{3}, D=\{h=0\}$.
- $D$ is a free divisor: a basis of $\operatorname{Der}(\log D)$ is $\{\chi, \delta\}$ with $\chi=3 x \partial_{x}+2 y \partial_{y}, \delta=3 y^{2} \partial_{x}+2 x \partial_{y}$.
- $\chi(h)=6 h, \delta(h)=0,[\chi, \delta]=\delta$.
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- An integrable logarithmic connection (ILC) (with respect to $D)$ is a left $\mathcal{D}_{X}(\log D)$-module which is locally free** of finite rank over $\mathcal{O}_{X}$.
- $\mathcal{O}_{X}(k D), k \in \mathbb{Z}$, are ILC. Locally it is given by the action of a local basis of $\operatorname{Der}(\log D)$ on a local basis of $\mathcal{E}$ with some integrability conditions.
- $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}^{\prime} \operatorname{ILC} \Rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{x}} \mathcal{E}^{\prime}, \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{x}}\left(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)$ (in particular $\mathcal{E}^{*}$ ) ILC.
- $\mathcal{E}(k D):=\mathcal{E} \otimes_{0_{X}} \mathcal{O}_{x}(k D)$.
- Any ILC determines a local system on $U$ : its horizontal sections. It does not change by the twistings $\mathcal{E}(k D)$.
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- $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, e}=\mathcal{D}_{X}(\log D) e_{1}=\mathcal{D}_{X}(\log D) / \mathcal{D}_{X}(\log D)\left(\chi-\lambda, \delta^{2}-e y\right)$.
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- Any ILC $\mathcal{E}$ has a logarithmic de Rham complex $\Omega_{x}^{\bullet}(\log D)(\mathcal{E})$.
- We also have the meromorphic de Rham complex $\Omega_{X}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{E}[\star D])$.
- The meromorphic connection $\mathcal{E}[\star D]$ is regular and $\Omega_{X}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{E}[\star D]) \xrightarrow{\sim} R j_{*} \mathcal{L}$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is the local system of horizontal sections of $\mathcal{E}$ on $U=X-D$.
- (LCP): Is the inclusion $\Omega_{X}^{\bullet}(\log D)(\mathcal{E}) \hookrightarrow \Omega_{X}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{E}[\star D])$ a quasi-isomorphism?
- In other words, is the canonical map $\Omega_{X}^{\bullet}(\log D)(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow R j_{*} \mathcal{L}$ an isomorphism in the derived category?
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- When $D$ is a NCD and $\mathcal{E}=\mathcal{O}_{X}$, the (LCT) is true (easy).
- (Deligne) When $D$ is a NCD, $\Omega_{X}^{\bullet}(\log D)(\mathcal{E}(k D)) \simeq \Omega_{X}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{E}[\star D])$ for $k \gg 0$.
- (Castro-Jiménez, Mond, N-M; 1994): If $D$ is a locally quasi-homogeneous (LQH) free divisor and $\mathcal{E}=\mathcal{O}_{X}$, then the (LCT) is true.
- Question: is (LCT) true for any free divisor and any ILC?
- Can we understand the LCT with D-module Theory?
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- Esnault-Viehweg (1986), for NCD: they used the sheaf of rings $\mathcal{D}_{X}(\log D)$ to express logarithmic de Rham complexes and to prove a duality formula.
- Calderón-Moreno (1996-97), for arbitrary free divisors and arbitrary ILC: $V_{0}^{D}\left(\mathcal{D}_{X}\right)=\mathcal{D}_{X}(\log D)$,

$$
\Omega_{X}^{\bullet}(\log D)(\mathcal{E})=\mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{V_{0}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}, \mathcal{E}\right),
$$

and he introduced* the notion of Koszul free divisor and proved that: Koszul free $\Rightarrow \Omega_{X}^{\circ}(\log D)$ is perverse.

- Definition: A free divisor $D$ is Koszul at $p$ if the symbols of any (or some) local basis $\left\{\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{d}\right\}$ of $\operatorname{Der}(\log D)_{p}$ form a regular sequence in $\operatorname{gr} \mathcal{D}_{X, p}=\mathcal{O}_{X, p}\left[\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{d}\right]$. We say that $D$ is Koszul if it is so at any point $p \in D$.
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## What we need to "compute" $j_{1 *} \mathcal{L}$ ?

$j!* \mathcal{L}=$ image of $j!\mathcal{L} \rightarrow R j_{*} \mathcal{L}$ in the category of perverse sheaves.
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## What we need to "compute" $j_{1 *} \mathcal{L}$ ?

$j!* \mathcal{L}=$ image of $j!\mathcal{L} \rightarrow R j_{*} \mathcal{L}$ in the category of perverse sheaves.
$j_{!} \mathcal{L}=\left(R j_{*} \mathcal{L}^{\vee}\right)^{\vee}$.
If $\mathcal{L}$ is the local system of horizontal sections of an ILC $\mathcal{E}$, then $\mathcal{L}^{\vee}$ is the local system of horizontal sections of the dual ILC $\mathcal{E}^{*}$.
So, what we need is to understand:

$$
\mathcal{E} \rightsquigarrow R j_{*} \mathcal{L} \text { and }()^{\vee} \text {. }
$$
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## Understanding duality

Theorem. [Calderón-Moreno, $N-M$, 2004] Let $D \subset X$ be a free divisor and $\mathcal{E}$ a ILC w.r.t. D. There is a canonical isomorphism in the derived category

$$
\mathbb{D}\left(\mathcal{D}_{X}{\stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\mathcal{D}_{X}(\log D)}} \varepsilon\right) \simeq \mathcal{D}_{X}{\stackrel{\mathrm{Q}}{\mathcal{D}_{X}(\log D)}} \varepsilon^{*}(D)
$$

where $\mathbb{D}$ stands for the duality in D-module theory and * for the duality of ILC.

## Understanding duality

Theorem. [Calderón-Moreno, $N-M$, 2004] Let $D \subset X$ be a free divisor and $\mathcal{E}$ a ILC w.r.t. D. There is a canonical isomorphism in the derived category

$$
\mathbb{D}\left(\mathcal{D}_{X}{\stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\mathcal{D}_{X}(\log D)}} \varepsilon\right) \simeq \mathcal{D}_{X}{\stackrel{\mathrm{Q}}{\mathcal{D}_{X}(\log D)}} \varepsilon^{*}(D)
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where $\mathbb{D}$ stands for the duality in D-module theory and * for the duality of ILC. The "Spencer" case and $\mathcal{E}=\mathcal{O}_{X}$ : Castro, Ucha.
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Theorem. [Calderón-Moreno, $N-M$, 2004] Let $D \subset X$ be a free divisor and $\mathcal{E}$ a ILC w.r.t. D. There is a canonical isomorphism in the derived category

$$
\mathbb{D}\left(\mathcal{D}_{X}{\stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\mathcal{D}_{X}(\log D)}} \varepsilon\right) \simeq \mathcal{D}_{X}{\stackrel{\mathrm{Q}}{\mathcal{D}_{X}(\log D)}} \varepsilon^{*}(D)
$$

where $\mathbb{D}$ stands for the duality in D-module theory and * for the duality of ILC. The "Spencer" case and $\mathcal{E}=\mathcal{O}_{X}$ : Castro, Ucha.

Corollary. Under the above hypotheses, we have a canonical isomorphism in the derived category

$$
\Omega_{X}^{\bullet}(\log D)(\mathcal{E})=\mathrm{DR}\left(\mathcal{D}_{X}{\stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\mathcal{D}_{X}(\log D)}} \mathcal{E}(D)\right)
$$

## Understanding $R j_{*} \mathcal{L} \equiv(L C P)$

## Understanding $R j_{*} \mathcal{L} \equiv(\mathrm{LCP})$

Theorem. [Calderón-Moreno, $N-M, 2006]$ Let $D \subset X$ be a free divisor of linear Jacobian type and $\mathcal{E}$ a ILC w.r.t. D. Then, for $k \gg 0$ the canonical map $\Omega_{X}^{\circ}(\log D)(\mathcal{E}(k D)) \rightarrow R j_{*} \mathcal{L}$ is an isomorphism in the derived category.
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Theorem. [Calderón-Moreno, $N-M, 2006]$ Let $D \subset X$ be a free divisor of linear Jacobian type and $\mathcal{E}$ a ILC w.r.t. D. Then, for $k \gg 0$ the canonical map $\Omega_{X}^{\bullet}(\log D)(\mathcal{E}(k D)) \rightarrow R j_{*} \mathcal{L}$ is an isomorphism in the derived category.

Corollary. Under the above hypotheses, for $k \gg 0$ the canonical map j! $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \Omega_{X}^{\bullet}(\log D)(\mathcal{E}(k D))$ is an isomorphism in the derived category.

## Understanding $R j_{\star} \mathcal{L} \equiv(\mathrm{LCP})$

Theorem. [Calderón-Moreno, $N-M, 2006]$ Let $D \subset X$ be a free divisor of linear Jacobian type and $\mathcal{E}$ a ILC w.r.t. $D$. Then, for $k \gg 0$ the canonical map $\Omega_{X}^{\bullet}(\log D)(\mathcal{E}(k D)) \rightarrow R j_{*} \mathcal{L}$ is an isomorphism in the derived category.

Corollary. Under the above hypotheses, for $k \gg 0$ the canonical map $j_{!} \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \Omega_{X}^{\bullet}(\log D)(\mathcal{E}(k D))$ is an isomorphism in the derived category.

Corollary. Under the above hypotheses,
$j!* \mathcal{L}=\operatorname{DR}\left(\operatorname{lm}\left(\mathcal{D}_{X} \stackrel{(L)}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{D}_{X}(\log D)} \mathcal{E}(-k D) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{X} \stackrel{(L)}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{D}_{X}(\log D)} \mathcal{E}(k D)\right)\right)$
for $k \gg 0$.
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## Examples

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X=\mathbb{C}^{2}, h=x^{2}-y^{3}, D=\{h=0\} . \\
& \left(\mathcal{O}_{X} h^{\alpha}\right)^{*} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X} h^{-\alpha} . \\
& \mathcal{E}_{\lambda, e} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X} h^{\alpha}\right) \simeq \mathcal{E}_{\lambda+6 \alpha, e}, \alpha, \lambda, e \in \mathbb{C} . \\
& \mathcal{E}_{\lambda, e}(k D) \simeq \mathcal{E}_{\lambda-6 k, e}, k \in \mathbb{Z} . \\
& \left(\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, e}\right)^{*}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, e}, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right) \simeq \mathcal{E}_{-\lambda-1, e} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Examples of ILC of rank 1 w.r.t. the cusp

For $z \in \mathbb{C}^{*}: \mathcal{L}_{z} \leftrightarrow \varrho_{z}: G \rightarrow G L(1, \mathbb{C})=\mathbb{C}^{*}$, $\varrho_{z}(\delta)=z, \varrho_{z}(a)=\varrho_{z}(b)=1$.
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For $z \in \mathbb{C}^{*}: \mathcal{L}_{z} \leftrightarrow \varrho_{z}: G \rightarrow G L(1, \mathbb{C})=\mathbb{C}^{*}$, $\varrho_{z}(\delta)=z, \varrho_{z}(a)=\varrho_{z}(b)=1$.
For $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ :

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}:=\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}(\log D)}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} h^{\alpha}\right)=\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} / \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}(\chi-6 \alpha, \delta)
$$

If $z \neq 1, e^{ \pm \frac{2 \pi i}{6}}: \operatorname{DR}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}\right) \simeq R j_{*} \mathcal{L}_{z} \simeq j!\mathcal{L}_{z} \simeq j!* \mathcal{L}_{z}$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $e^{2 \pi i \alpha}=z$.
If $z=1$ : $\mathrm{DR}\left(\mathcal{M}_{-k}\right) \simeq R j_{*} \mathbb{C}_{U}$ for any integer $k \geq 1$, $\operatorname{DR}\left(\mathcal{M}_{k}\right) \simeq j!\mathbb{C}_{u}$ for any integer $k \geq 0$ and $\operatorname{DR}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)=\mathbb{C}_{X} \simeq j_{!} \mathbb{C}_{U}$.
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For $z \in \mathbb{C}^{*}: \mathcal{L}_{z} \leftrightarrow \varrho_{z}: G \rightarrow G L(1, \mathbb{C})=\mathbb{C}^{*}$,
$\varrho_{z}(\delta)=z, \varrho_{z}(a)=\varrho_{z}(b)=1$.
For $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ :
$\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}:=\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}(\log D)}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} h^{\alpha}\right)=\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} / \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}(\chi-6 \alpha, \delta)$.
If $z \neq 1, e^{ \pm \frac{2 \pi i}{6}}: \operatorname{DR}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}\right) \simeq R j_{*} \mathcal{L}_{z} \simeq j!\mathcal{L}_{z} \simeq j_{!} \mathcal{L}_{z}$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $e^{2 \pi i \alpha}=z$.
If $z=1$ : $\mathrm{DR}\left(\mathcal{M}_{-k}\right) \simeq R j_{*} \mathbb{C}_{U}$ for any integer $k \geq 1$,
$\operatorname{DR}\left(\mathcal{M}_{k}\right) \simeq j!\mathbb{C}_{U}$ for any integer $k \geq 0$ and $\operatorname{DR}\left(\mathcal{O}_{x}\right)=\mathbb{C}_{x} \simeq j_{!} \mathbb{C}_{u}$.
If $z=e^{ \pm \frac{2 \pi i}{6}}: \operatorname{DR}\left(\mathcal{M}_{ \pm \frac{1}{6}-k}\right) \simeq R j_{*} \mathcal{L}_{z}$ for any integer
$k \geq 1, \operatorname{DR}\left(\mathcal{M}_{ \pm \frac{1}{6}+k}\right) \simeq j!\mathcal{L}_{z}$ for any integer $k \geq 0$ and $\operatorname{DR}\left(\mathcal{D}_{X} h^{ \pm 1 / 6}\right) \simeq j_{!*} \mathcal{L}_{z}$.

## Examples of ILC of rank 1 w.r.t. the cusp

For $z \in \mathbb{C}^{*}: \mathcal{L}_{z} \leftrightarrow \varrho_{z}: G \rightarrow \operatorname{GL}(1, \mathbb{C})=\mathbb{C}^{*}$,
$\varrho_{z}(\delta)=z, \varrho_{z}(a)=\varrho_{z}(b)=1$.
For $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ :
$\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}:=\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}}(\log D)\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} h^{\alpha}\right)=\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} / \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}(\chi-6 \alpha, \delta)$.
If $z \neq 1, e^{ \pm \frac{2 \pi i}{6}}: \operatorname{DR}\left(\mathcal{\mathcal { M } _ { \alpha }}\right) \simeq R j_{*} \mathcal{L}_{z} \simeq j!\mathcal{L}_{z} \simeq j!* \mathcal{L}_{z}$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $e^{2 \pi i \alpha}=z$.
If $z=1$ : $\mathrm{DR}\left(\mathcal{M}_{-k}\right) \simeq R j_{*} \mathbb{C}_{U}$ for any integer $k \geq 1$,
$\operatorname{DR}\left(\mathcal{M}_{k}\right) \simeq j!\mathbb{C}_{U}$ for any integer $k \geq 0$ and $\operatorname{DR}\left(\mathcal{O}_{x}\right)=\mathbb{C}_{x} \simeq j_{!} \mathbb{C}_{u}$.
If $z=e^{ \pm \frac{2 \pi i}{6}}: \operatorname{DR}\left(\mathcal{M}_{ \pm \frac{1}{6}-k}\right) \simeq R j_{*} \mathcal{L}_{z}$ for any integer
$k \geq 1, \operatorname{DR}\left(\mathcal{M}_{ \pm \frac{1}{6}+k}\right) \simeq j!\mathcal{L}_{z}$ for any integer $k \geq 0$ and
$\operatorname{DR}\left(\mathcal{D}_{X} h^{ \pm 1 / 6}\right) \simeq j!* \mathcal{L}_{z}$.
$-1,-\frac{5}{6},-\frac{7}{6}$ are the roots of the Bernstein polynomial of $h$.
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For $\lambda, e \in \mathbb{C}: \varepsilon_{\lambda, e}=\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}(\log D) / \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}(\log D)\left(\chi-\lambda, \delta^{2}-e y\right)$.
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& \mathcal{M}_{-8,2}=\mathcal{M}_{-8,2}[\star D], \mathbb{D} \mathcal{M}_{-2,2}=\left(\mathbb{D} \mathcal{M}_{-2,2}\right)[\star D] .
\end{aligned}
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For $\lambda, e \in \mathbb{C}: \mathcal{E}_{\lambda, e}=\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}(\log D) / \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}(\log D)\left(\chi-\lambda, \delta^{2}-e y\right)$. $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, e}:=\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}(\log D)} \varepsilon_{\lambda, e} . \mathcal{L}_{\lambda, e}$ the local system of horizontal sections of $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, e}$ on $U$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { For } \lambda=-2, e=2, \mathcal{E}_{-2,2}(D)=\mathcal{E}_{-8,2} \text { and } \\
& \mathcal{M}_{-8,2}=\mathcal{M}_{-8,2}[\star D], \mathbb{D}^{-} \mathcal{M}_{-2,2}=\left(\mathbb{D}^{\mathcal{M}_{-2,2}}\right)[\star D] . \\
& j_{!*} \mathcal{L}_{-2+6 \mathbb{Z}, 2}=\operatorname{DR}\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathcal{M}_{-2,2} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{-8,2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can compute the above image:

$$
j!* \mathcal{L}_{-2+6 \mathbb{Z}, 2}=\operatorname{DR}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} / \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}\left(\chi+2,9 y \partial_{x}^{2}-4 \partial_{y}^{2}\right)\right) .
$$

## Examples of ILC of rank 2 w.r.t. the cusp

For $\lambda, e \in \mathbb{C}: \mathcal{E}_{\lambda, e}=\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}(\log D) / \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}(\log D)\left(\chi-\lambda, \delta^{2}-e y\right)$. $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, e}:=\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}(\log D)} \varepsilon_{\lambda, e} . \mathcal{L}_{\lambda, e}$ the local system of horizontal sections of $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, e}$ on $U$.
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { For } \lambda=-2, e=2, \mathcal{E}_{-2,2}(D)=\mathcal{E}_{-8,2} \text { and } \\
& \mathcal{M}_{-8,2}=\mathcal{M}_{-8,2}[\star D], \mathbb{D}^{-} \mathcal{M}_{-2,2}=\left(\mathbb{D}^{\mathcal{M}_{-2,2}}\right)[\star D] . \\
& j_{!*} \mathcal{L}_{-2+6 \mathbb{Z}, 2}=\operatorname{DR}\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathcal{M}_{-2,2} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{-8,2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can compute the above image:

$$
j!* \mathcal{L}_{-2+6 \mathbb{Z}, 2}=\operatorname{DR}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} / \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}\left(\chi+2,9 y \partial_{x}^{2}-4 \partial_{y}^{2}\right)\right) .
$$

$\operatorname{Ch}\left(j_{!} \mathcal{L}_{-2+6 \mathbb{Z}, 2}\right)=T_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}^{*}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right) \cup \overline{T_{D^{\text {reg }}}^{*}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)}$.

## Examples of ILC of rank 2 w.r.t. the cusp

For $\lambda, e \in \mathbb{C}: \mathcal{E}_{\lambda, e}=\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}(\log D) / \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}(\log D)\left(\chi-\lambda, \delta^{2}-e y\right)$. $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, e}:=\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}(\log D)} \varepsilon_{\lambda, e} . \mathcal{L}_{\lambda, e}$ the local system of horizontal sections of $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, e}$ on $U$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { For } \lambda=-2, e=2, \mathcal{E}_{-2,2}(D)=\mathcal{E}_{-8,2} \text { and } \\
& \mathcal{M}_{-8,2}=\mathcal{M}_{-8,2}[\star D], \mathbb{D} \mathcal{M}_{-2,2}=\left(\mathbb{D} \mathcal{M}_{-2,2}\right)[\star D] . \\
& j_{!*} \mathcal{L}_{-2+6 \mathbb{Z}, 2}=\operatorname{DR}\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathcal{M}_{-2,2} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{-8,2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can compute the above image:

$$
j_{!*} \mathcal{L}_{-2+6 \mathbb{Z}, 2}=\operatorname{DR}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} / \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}\left(\chi+2,9 y \partial_{x}^{2}-4 \partial_{y}^{2}\right)\right) .
$$

$\operatorname{Ch}\left(j_{!*} \mathcal{L}_{-2+6 \mathbb{Z}, 2}\right)=T_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}^{*}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right) \cup \overline{T_{D^{\text {reg }}}^{*}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)}$.
It remains to see whether $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda, e}$ is irreducible or not. . .
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