

Extension of functors for algebras of formal deformation

Ana Rita Martins

Universidade Católica Portuguesa
(Joint work with Teresa M. Fernandes and David Raimundo)

Iberian Meeting on Algebraic Analysis and Geometry
Lisbon, September 2011

Motivation

This work arises in the framework of **deformation quantization modules**, that is, the study of modules over a deformation sheaf of rings on a complex manifold.

Motivation

This work arises in the framework of **deformation quantization modules**, that is, the study of modules over a deformation sheaf of rings on a complex manifold.

These modules are, in particular, a natural generalization of \mathcal{D} -modules, since one considers the sheaf $\mathcal{D}_X[[\hbar]]$ (noted \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} for short) of formal differential operators on a parameter \hbar on a complex manifold X .

Motivation

This work arises in the framework of **deformation quantization modules**, that is, the study of modules over a deformation sheaf of rings on a complex manifold.

These modules are, in particular, a natural generalization of \mathcal{D} -modules, since one considers the sheaf $\mathcal{D}_X[[\hbar]]$ (noted \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} for short) of formal differential operators on a parameter \hbar on a complex manifold X .

We refer to

- M. Kashiwara, P. Schapira, *Deformation Quantization Modules*, Astérisque, Soc. Math. France, (2012) arXiv:1003.3304v2,

Motivation

This work arises in the framework of **deformation quantization modules**, that is, the study of modules over a deformation sheaf of rings on a complex manifold.

These modules are, in particular, a natural generalization of \mathcal{D} -modules, since one considers the sheaf $\mathcal{D}_X[[\hbar]]$ (noted \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} for short) of formal differential operators on a parameter \hbar on a complex manifold X .

We refer to

- M. Kashiwara, P. Schapira, *Deformation Quantization Modules*, Astérisque, Soc. Math. France, (2012) arXiv:1003.3304v2,
- A. D'Agnolo, S. Guillermou and P. Schapira, *Regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}[[\hbar]]$ -modules*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. (2010),

for a detailed study of these objects.

Motivation

The first motivation for this work was to understand the behavior of a coherent \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} -module near a submanifold Y , in analogy with the \mathcal{D} -module case.

Motivation

The first motivation for this work was to understand the behavior of a coherent \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} -module near a submanifold Y , in analogy with the \mathcal{D} -module case.

The natural tool is the functor of **inverse image**, but we can also use the functor of **specialization** for this effect.

Motivation

The first motivation for this work was to understand the behavior of a coherent \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} -module near a submanifold Y , in analogy with the \mathcal{D} -module case.

The natural tool is the functor of **inverse image**, but we can also use the functor of **specialization** for this effect.

While the first is not exact, unless we assume in addition that the objects are non characteristic, the second is exact on the Serre subcategory of specializable \mathcal{D}_X -modules along Y .

Motivation

The first motivation for this work was to understand the behavior of a coherent \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} -module near a submanifold Y , in analogy with the \mathcal{D} -module case.

The natural tool is the functor of **inverse image**, but we can also use the functor of **specialization** for this effect.

While the first is not exact, unless we assume in addition that the objects are non characteristic, the second is exact on the Serre subcategory of specializable \mathcal{D}_X -modules along Y .

To treat inverse image revealed to be not too hard because one finds a natural candidate to play the role of transfer module.

Motivation

The first motivation for this work was to understand the behavior of a coherent \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} -module near a submanifold Y , in analogy with the \mathcal{D} -module case.

The natural tool is the functor of **inverse image**, but we can also use the functor of **specialization** for this effect.

While the first is not exact, unless we assume in addition that the objects are non characteristic, the second is exact on the Serre subcategory of specializable \mathcal{D}_X -modules along Y .

To treat inverse image revealed to be not too hard because one finds a natural candidate to play the role of transfer module. That was not the case for specialization because there isn't on \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} a natural notion of Kashiwara-Malgrange V -filtration.

Plan

- 1 To recall the basic material we need

Plan

- 1 To recall the basic material we need
- 2 Construction of the functor of specialization for \mathcal{D}^h -modules

Plan

- 1 To recall the basic material we need
- 2 Construction of the functor of specialization for \mathcal{D}^h -modules
- 3 Construction of the formal extension functors

Notation

X complex manifold of finite dimension d_X

Notation

X complex manifold of finite dimension d_X

\mathcal{O}_X sheaf of holomorphic functions on X

Notation

X complex manifold of finite dimension d_X

\mathcal{O}_X sheaf of holomorphic functions on X

\mathcal{D}_X sheaf over X of linear holomorphic differential operators of finite order

Notation

X complex manifold of finite dimension d_X

\mathcal{O}_X sheaf of holomorphic functions on X

\mathcal{D}_X sheaf over X of linear holomorphic differential operators of finite order

$\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]] := \mathbb{C}^h$ ring of formal power series of complex numbers

Notation

X complex manifold of finite dimension d_X

\mathcal{O}_X sheaf of holomorphic functions on X

\mathcal{D}_X sheaf over X of linear holomorphic differential operators of finite order

$\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]] := \mathbb{C}^h$ ring of formal power series of complex numbers

\mathbb{K} unital Noetherian ring with finite global dimension (\mathbb{C} or \mathbb{C}^h)

Notation

In the following we shall consider a sheaf \mathcal{A} of $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$ -algebras on X

Notation

In the following we shall consider a sheaf \mathcal{A} of $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$ -algebras on X (you can have in mind $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} := \mathcal{D}_X[[\hbar]]$).

Notation

In the following we shall consider a sheaf \mathcal{A} of $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$ -algebras on X (you can have in mind $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} := \mathcal{D}_X[[\hbar]]$).

Given a sheaf \mathcal{M} of \mathcal{A} -modules and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ one denotes:

Notation

In the following we shall consider a sheaf \mathcal{A} of $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$ -algebras on X (you can have in mind $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} := \mathcal{D}_X[[\hbar]]$).

Given a sheaf \mathcal{M} of \mathcal{A} -modules and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ one denotes:

$$\mathcal{M}_n = \mathcal{M} / \hbar^{n+1} \mathcal{M},$$

Notation

In the following we shall consider a sheaf \mathcal{A} of $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$ -algebras on X (you can have in mind $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} := \mathcal{D}_X[[\hbar]]$).

Given a sheaf \mathcal{M} of \mathcal{A} -modules and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ one denotes:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_n &= \mathcal{M} / \hbar^{n+1} \mathcal{M}, \\ \rho_{k,n} : \mathcal{M}_n &\rightarrow \mathcal{M}_k, \quad n \geq k,\end{aligned}$$

Notation

In the following we shall consider a sheaf \mathcal{A} of $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$ -algebras on X (you can have in mind $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} := \mathcal{D}_X[[\hbar]]$).

Given a sheaf \mathcal{M} of \mathcal{A} -modules and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ one denotes:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_n &= \mathcal{M} / \hbar^{n+1} \mathcal{M}, \\ \rho_{k,n} : \mathcal{M}_n &\rightarrow \mathcal{M}_k, \quad n \geq k, \\ {}_n \mathcal{M} &= \ker(\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\hbar^{n+1}} \mathcal{M}).\end{aligned}$$

Notation

In the following we shall consider a sheaf \mathcal{A} of $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$ -algebras on X (you can have in mind $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} := \mathcal{D}_X[[\hbar]]$).

Given a sheaf \mathcal{M} of \mathcal{A} -modules and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ one denotes:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_n &= \mathcal{M} / \hbar^{n+1} \mathcal{M}, \\ \rho_{k,n} : \mathcal{M}_n &\rightarrow \mathcal{M}_k, \quad n \geq k, \\ {}_n \mathcal{M} &= \ker(\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\hbar^{n+1}} \mathcal{M}).\end{aligned}$$

\mathcal{M} is said to have **no \hbar -torsion** if $\hbar : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is injective

Notation

In the following we shall consider a sheaf \mathcal{A} of $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$ -algebras on X (you can have in mind $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} := \mathcal{D}_X[[\hbar]]$).

Given a sheaf \mathcal{M} of \mathcal{A} -modules and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ one denotes:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_n &= \mathcal{M} / \hbar^{n+1} \mathcal{M}, \\ \rho_{k,n} : \mathcal{M}_n &\rightarrow \mathcal{M}_k, \quad n \geq k, \\ {}_n \mathcal{M} &= \ker(\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\hbar^{n+1}} \mathcal{M}).\end{aligned}$$

\mathcal{M} is said to have **no \hbar -torsion** if $\hbar : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is injective

\mathcal{M} is said to be **\hbar -complete** if the canonical morphism $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \varprojlim_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{M}_n$ is an isomorphism.

The correspondence

$$\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}) \mapsto \mathcal{M}_n \in \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}_n),$$

defines a left exact functor whose left derived functor is given by:

The correspondence

$$\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}) \mapsto \mathcal{M}_n \in \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}_n),$$

defines a left exact functor whose left derived functor is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} gr_{\hbar}^n : D^b(\mathcal{A}) &\rightarrow D^b(\mathcal{A}_n), \\ \mathcal{M} &\mapsto \mathcal{M} \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}_n. \end{aligned}$$

The correspondence

$$\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}) \mapsto \mathcal{M}_n \in \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}_n),$$

defines a left exact functor whose left derived functor is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} gr_{\hbar}^n : D^b(\mathcal{A}) &\rightarrow D^b(\mathcal{A}_n), \\ \mathcal{M} &\mapsto \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^L \mathcal{A}_n. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that for $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A})$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(gr_{\hbar}^n(\mathcal{M})) &\simeq \mathcal{M}_n, \\ H^{-1}(gr_{\hbar}^n(\mathcal{M})) &\simeq_n \mathcal{M}, \\ H^j(gr_{\hbar}^n(\mathcal{M})) &\simeq 0, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

K-S, *Deformation Quantization Modules*

For each $n \geq 0$, the category $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}_n)$ and the full subcategory of $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{A})$ whose objects are those \mathcal{M} such that $\hbar^{n+1}\mathcal{M} \simeq 0$ are equivalent.

K-S, *Deformation Quantization Modules*

For each $n \geq 0$, the category $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}_n)$ and the full subcategory of $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{A})$ whose objects are those \mathcal{M} such that $\hbar^{n+1}\mathcal{M} \simeq 0$ are equivalent.

K-S, *Deformation Quantization Modules*

Let $n \geq 0$. An \mathcal{A}_n -module \mathcal{M} is coherent as an \mathcal{A}_n -module if and only if it is so as an \mathcal{A} -module.

Cohomologically \hbar -complete objects

Cohomologically \hbar -complete objects

Let $\mathcal{A}^{loc} := \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\hbar]} \mathbb{Z}[\hbar, \hbar^{-1}]$.

Cohomologically \hbar -complete objects

Let $\mathcal{A}^{loc} := \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\hbar]} \mathbb{Z}[\hbar, \hbar^{-1}]$.

$\mathcal{M} \in D^b(\mathcal{A})$ is said to be a **cohomologically \hbar -complete** object if

$$R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}^{loc}, \mathcal{M}) \simeq R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{Z}[\hbar]}(\mathbb{Z}[\hbar, \hbar^{-1}], \mathcal{M}) = 0.$$

Cohomologically \hbar -complete objects

Let $\mathcal{A}^{loc} := \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\hbar]} \mathbb{Z}[\hbar, \hbar^{-1}]$.

$\mathcal{M} \in D^b(\mathcal{A})$ is said to be a **cohomologically \hbar -complete** object if

$$R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}^{loc}, \mathcal{M}) \simeq R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{Z}[\hbar]}(\mathbb{Z}[\hbar, \hbar^{-1}], \mathcal{M}) = 0.$$

K-S, *Deformation Quantization Modules*

The functor $gr_{\hbar} : D^b(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow D^b(\mathcal{A}_0)$ is conservative in the category of *c \hbar c* objects.

Given $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A})$ one denotes by:

Given $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A})$ one denotes by:

$\mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tor}}$: the submodule of \mathcal{M} consisting of sections locally annihilated by some power of \hbar

Given $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A})$ one denotes by:

$\mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tor}}$: the submodule of \mathcal{M} consisting of sections locally annihilated by some power of \hbar

$$\mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tf}} := \mathcal{M} / \mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tor}}.$$

Given $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A})$ one denotes by:

$\mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tor}}$: the submodule of \mathcal{M} consisting of sections locally annihilated by some power of \hbar

$$\mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tf}} := \mathcal{M} / \mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tor}}.$$

An \mathcal{A} -module is said to be a \hbar -torsion module if $\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tor}}$.

Given $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A})$ one denotes by:

$\mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tor}}$: the submodule of \mathcal{M} consisting of sections locally annihilated by some power of \hbar

$$\mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tf}} := \mathcal{M} / \mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tor}}.$$

An \mathcal{A} -module is said to be a \hbar -torsion module if $\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tor}}$.

The sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tor}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tf}} \rightarrow 0,$$

is exact.

Note that $\mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tor}} = \bigcup_n {}_n\mathcal{M}$!

Note that $\mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tor}} = \bigcup_n {}_n\mathcal{M}$!

If \mathcal{M} is coherent, the family $\{{}_n\mathcal{M}\}_n$ is locally stationary

Note that $\mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tor}} = \bigcup_n {}_n\mathcal{M}$!

If \mathcal{M} is coherent, the family $\{{}_n\mathcal{M}\}_n$ is locally stationary

↓

locally, there exists $N \geq 1$ such that $\hbar^{N+1}\mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tor}} = 0$.

Note that $\mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tor}} = \bigcup_n {}_n\mathcal{M}$!

If \mathcal{M} is coherent, the family $\{{}_n\mathcal{M}\}_n$ is locally stationary

↓

locally, there exists $N \geq 1$ such that $\hbar^{N+1}\mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tor}} = 0$.

Moreover, both $\mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tor}}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tf}}$ are coherent \mathcal{A} -modules.

Note that $\mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tor}} = \bigcup_n {}_n\mathcal{M}$!

If \mathcal{M} is coherent, the family $\{{}_n\mathcal{M}\}_n$ is locally stationary

↓

locally, there exists $N \geq 1$ such that $\hbar^{N+1}\mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tor}} = 0$.

Moreover, both $\mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tor}}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tf}}$ are coherent \mathcal{A} -modules.

An \mathcal{A} -module of \hbar -torsion is coherent as an \mathcal{A} -module if and only if, locally, it is coherent as an \mathcal{A}_n -module for n big enough.

Review on specialization for \mathcal{D} -modules

Review on specialization for \mathcal{D} -modules

The notion of specialization for \mathcal{D}_X -modules (along a submanifold) was developed in the pioneering work:

M. Kashiwara, *Vanishing cycle sheaves and holonomic systems of differential equations*, Lect. Notes in Math., **1016**, Springer-Verlag (1983).

Review on specialization for \mathcal{D} -modules

The notion of specialization for \mathcal{D}_X -modules (along a submanifold) was developed in the pioneering work:

M. Kashiwara, *Vanishing cycle sheaves and holonomic systems of differential equations*, Lect. Notes in Math., **1016**, Springer-Verlag (1983).

$Y \subset X$ submanifold of X

Review on specialization for \mathcal{D} -modules

The notion of specialization for \mathcal{D}_X -modules (along a submanifold) was developed in the pioneering work:

M. Kashiwara, *Vanishing cycle sheaves and holonomic systems of differential equations*, Lect. Notes in Math., **1016**, Springer-Verlag (1983).

$Y \subset X$ submanifold of X

I the defining ideal of Y

Review on specialization for \mathcal{D} -modules

The notion of specialization for \mathcal{D}_X -modules (along a submanifold) was developed in the pioneering work:

M. Kashiwara, *Vanishing cycle sheaves and holonomic systems of differential equations*, Lect. Notes in Math., **1016**, Springer-Verlag (1983).

$Y \subset X$ submanifold of X

I the defining ideal of Y

$\pi : T_Y X \rightarrow Y$ projection of the normal bundle to Y

Review on specialization for \mathcal{D} -modules

The notion of specialization for \mathcal{D}_X -modules (along a submanifold) was developed in the pioneering work:

M. Kashiwara, *Vanishing cycle sheaves and holonomic systems of differential equations*, Lect. Notes in Math., **1016**, Springer-Verlag (1983).

$Y \subset X$ submanifold of X

I the defining ideal of Y

$\pi : T_Y X \rightarrow Y$ projection of the normal bundle to Y

$V_Y^\bullet(\mathcal{D}_X)$ the V -filtration of \mathcal{D}_X with respect to Y :

$$V^k(\mathcal{D}_X) = \{P \in \mathcal{D}_X : P(I^j) \subset I^{j+k}, \forall j, k \in \mathbb{Z}, j, j+k \geq 0\}$$

In a local coordinate system (t, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) such that $Y = \{t = 0\}$, and setting $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$, one has:

In a local coordinate system (t, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) such that $Y = \{t = 0\}$, and setting $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$, one has:

$$P \in V^0(\mathcal{D}_X) \Leftrightarrow P = \sum_{j,l} a_{j,l} \partial_x^l (t \partial_t)^j, \quad a_{j,l} \in \mathcal{O}_X$$

In a local coordinate system (t, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) such that $Y = \{t = 0\}$, and setting $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$, one has:

$$P \in V^0(\mathcal{D}_X) \Leftrightarrow P = \sum_{j,l} a_{j,l} \partial_x^l (t \partial_t)^j, \quad a_{j,l} \in \mathcal{O}_X$$

and for $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$P \in V^k(\mathcal{D}_X) \Leftrightarrow P = \sum_{j=0}^k Q_j \partial_t^j, \quad Q_j \in V^0(\mathcal{D}_X)$$

In a local coordinate system (t, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) such that $Y = \{t = 0\}$, and setting $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$, one has:

$$P \in V^0(\mathcal{D}_X) \Leftrightarrow P = \sum_{j,l} a_{j,l} \partial_x^l (t \partial_t)^j, \quad a_{j,l} \in \mathcal{O}_X$$

and for $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$P \in V^k(\mathcal{D}_X) \Leftrightarrow P = \sum_{j=0}^k Q_j \partial_t^j, \quad Q_j \in V^0(\mathcal{D}_X)$$

$$V^{-k}(\mathcal{D}_X) = t^k V^0(\mathcal{D}_X).$$

In a local coordinate system (t, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) such that $Y = \{t = 0\}$, and setting $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$, one has:

$$P \in V^0(\mathcal{D}_X) \Leftrightarrow P = \sum_{j,l} a_{j,l} \partial_x^l (t \partial_t)^j, \quad a_{j,l} \in \mathcal{O}_X$$

and for $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$P \in V^k(\mathcal{D}_X) \Leftrightarrow P = \sum_{j=0}^k Q_j \partial_t^j, \quad Q_j \in V^0(\mathcal{D}_X)$$

$$V^{-k}(\mathcal{D}_X) = t^k V^0(\mathcal{D}_X).$$

The graduate ring $gr_V(\mathcal{D}_X)$ is isomorphic to $\pi_* \mathcal{D}_{[T_Y X]}$, where $\mathcal{D}_{[T_Y X]}$ denotes the sheaf of homogeneous differential operators over $T_Y X$.

Definition

Let θ be the Euler field on $T_Y X$. A coherent \mathcal{D}_X -module \mathcal{M} is **specializable along Y** if for every good- V filtration $V^\bullet(\mathcal{M})$ on \mathcal{M} there is locally a non zero polynomial $b \in \mathbb{C}[s]$ such that

$$b(\theta - k)V^k(\mathcal{M}) \subset V^{k+1}(\mathcal{M}), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Recall that the polynomial b is called a **Bernstein-Sato polynomial** or a **b -function** associated to the filtration $V^\bullet(\mathcal{M})$.

Definition

Let θ be the Euler field on $T_Y X$. A coherent \mathcal{D}_X -module \mathcal{M} is **specializable along Y** if for every good- V filtration $V^\bullet(\mathcal{M})$ on \mathcal{M} there is locally a non zero polynomial $b \in \mathbb{C}[s]$ such that

$$b(\theta - k)V^k(\mathcal{M}) \subset V^{k+1}(\mathcal{M}), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Recall that the polynomial b is called a **Bernstein-Sato polynomial** or a **b -function** associated to the filtration $V^\bullet(\mathcal{M})$.

You can have in mind

$$\mathcal{D}_X / \mathcal{D}_X(t\partial_t - \alpha), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $X = \mathbb{C}^n$ with coordinates (t, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) and $Y = \{t = 0\}$.

Let G be a section of the canonical morphism $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}$.

Let G be a section of the canonical morphism $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}$.
Fix on \mathbb{C} the lexicographical order.

Let G be a section of the canonical morphism $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}$.

Fix on \mathbb{C} the lexicographical order.

Let \mathcal{M} be a specializable \mathcal{D}_X -module and denote by $V_G(\mathcal{M})$ a V -filtration of \mathcal{M} admitting a b -function whose zeros are contained in G .

Let G be a section of the canonical morphism $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}$.

Fix on \mathbb{C} the lexicographical order.

Let \mathcal{M} be a specializable \mathcal{D}_X -module and denote by $V_G(\mathcal{M})$ a V -filtration of \mathcal{M} admitting a b -function whose zeros are contained in G .

The following definition doesn't depend on the choice of G :

Definition

Let $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}_{coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ be specializable along a submanifold Y . The **specialized** of \mathcal{M} along Y is the coherent $\mathcal{D}_{T_Y X}$ -module defined by:

$$\nu_Y(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{D}_{T_Y X} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_{[T_Y X]}} \pi^{-1} gr_{V_G}(\mathcal{M}).$$

Let G be a section of the canonical morphism $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}$.

Fix on \mathbb{C} the lexicographical order.

Let \mathcal{M} be a specializable \mathcal{D}_X -module and denote by $V_G(\mathcal{M})$ a V -filtration of \mathcal{M} admitting a b -function whose zeros are contained in G .

The following definition doesn't depend on the choice of G :

Definition

Let $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}_{\text{coh}}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ be specializable along a submanifold Y . The **specialized** of \mathcal{M} along Y is the coherent $\mathcal{D}_{T_Y X}$ -module defined by:

$$\nu_Y(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{D}_{T_Y X} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_{[T_Y X]}} \pi^{-1} \text{gr}_{V_G}(\mathcal{M}).$$

$$(\nu_Y(\mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{D}_X(t\partial_t - \alpha))) = \mathcal{D}_{T_Y X}/\mathcal{D}_{T_Y X}(\tau\partial_\tau - \alpha))$$

Denoting by $\mathbf{Mod}_{sp}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ the full abelian subcategory of $\mathbf{Mod}_{coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ of specializable \mathcal{D}_X -modules along Y , we obtain an exact functor

Denoting by $\mathbf{Mod}_{sp}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ the full abelian subcategory of $\mathbf{Mod}_{coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ of specializable \mathcal{D}_X -modules along Y , we obtain an exact functor

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_Y : \mathbf{Mod}_{sp}(\mathcal{D}_X) &\rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_{coh}(\mathcal{D}_{T_Y X}) \\ \mathcal{M} &\mapsto \nu_Y(\mathcal{M}). \end{aligned}$$

Specialization for \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} -modules

Definition

A coherent \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} -module \mathcal{M} is **specializable along Y** if, for each $n \geq 0$, both ${}_n\mathcal{M}$ and \mathcal{M}_n are specializable \mathcal{D}_X -modules along Y .

Specialization for \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} -modules

Definition

A coherent \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} -module \mathcal{M} is **specializable along Y** if, for each $n \geq 0$, both ${}_n\mathcal{M}$ and \mathcal{M}_n are specializable \mathcal{D}_X -modules along Y .

We note $\mathbf{Mod}_{sp}(\mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar})$ the category of specializable \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} -modules.

Specialization for \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} -modules

Definition

A coherent \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} -module \mathcal{M} is **specializable along Y** if, for each $n \geq 0$, both ${}_n\mathcal{M}$ and \mathcal{M}_n are specializable \mathcal{D}_X -modules along Y .

We note $\text{Mod}_{sp}(\mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar})$ the category of specializable \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} -modules.

Definition

Given $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}_{sp}(\mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar})$, we define the **specialized of \mathcal{M} along Y** as:

$$\nu_Y^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M}) := \varprojlim_{n \geq 0} \nu_Y(\mathcal{M}_n).$$

Specialization for \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} -modules.

Indeed, we may prove that the specialized defines an exact functor

$$\nu_Y^{\hbar} : \text{Mod}_{sp}(\mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\text{coh}}(\mathcal{D}_{T_Y X}^{\hbar}).$$

Specialization for \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} -modules.

Indeed, we may prove that the specialized defines an exact functor

$$\nu_Y^{\hbar} : \text{Mod}_{sp}(\mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{coh}(\mathcal{D}_{T_Y X}^{\hbar}).$$

Proposition

Let \mathcal{M} be an \hbar -torsion \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} -module. Then \mathcal{M} is specializable as a \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} -module if and only if \mathcal{M} is specializable in the \mathcal{D}_X -modules sense. Moreover, if \mathcal{M} is specializable then $\nu_Y^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M}) \simeq \nu_Y(\mathcal{M})$ in $\text{Mod}_{coh}(\mathcal{D}_{T_Y X})$.

Proposition

Let \mathcal{M} be a coherent \mathcal{D}_X^h -module. Then the following properties are equivalent:

- 1 \mathcal{M} is a specializable \mathcal{D}_X^h -module;
- 2 \mathcal{M}_0 is a specializable \mathcal{D}_X -module;
- 3 \mathcal{M}_n is specializable as a \mathcal{D}_X -module, for each $n \geq 0$.

Examples

Example

Let $X = \mathbb{C}^m$, for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, with coordinates (t, x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}) , and $Y = \{(t, x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^m : t = 0\}$.

Examples

Example

Let $X = \mathbb{C}^m$, for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, with coordinates (t, x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}) , and $Y = \{(t, x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^m : t = 0\}$.

Let $\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} / \mathcal{J}$, for a coherent ideal \mathcal{J} .

Examples

Example

Let $X = \mathbb{C}^m$, for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, with coordinates (t, x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}) , and $Y = \{(t, x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^m : t = 0\}$.

Let $\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} / \mathcal{J}$, for a coherent ideal \mathcal{J} .

One has

$$\mathcal{M}_n \simeq \frac{\mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar}}{\hbar^{n+1} \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} + \mathcal{J}}.$$

Examples

Suppose that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} / \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} b(t\partial_t)$, where $b(s)$ is a polynomial in $\mathbb{C}^{\hbar}[s]$,

$$b(s) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i(\hbar) s^i,$$

for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and, for $i \geq 0$, $a_i(\hbar) := \sum_{j \geq 0} a_{ij} \hbar^j \in \mathbb{C}^{\hbar}$.

Examples

Suppose that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} / \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} b(t\partial_t)$, where $b(s)$ is a polynomial in $\mathbb{C}^{\hbar}[s]$,

$$b(s) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i(\hbar) s^i,$$

for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and, for $i \geq 0$, $a_i(\hbar) := \sum_{j \geq 0} a_{ij} \hbar^j \in \mathbb{C}^{\hbar}$.

Set $b_0(s) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_{i0} s^i$.

Examples

Suppose that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar}/\mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar}b(t\partial_t)$, where $b(s)$ is a polynomial in $\mathbb{C}^{\hbar}[s]$,

$$b(s) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i(\hbar)s^i,$$

for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and, for $i \geq 0$, $a_i(\hbar) := \sum_{j \geq 0} a_{ij}\hbar^j \in \mathbb{C}^{\hbar}$.

Set $b_0(s) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_{i0}s^i$.

Since $\mathcal{M}_0 \simeq \mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{D}_X b_0(t\partial_t)$, \mathcal{M} is specializable if and only if $b_0(s)$ is a non zero polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[s]$.

Examples

Suppose that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar}/\mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar}b(t\partial_t)$, where $b(s)$ is a polynomial in $\mathbb{C}^{\hbar}[s]$,

$$b(s) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i(\hbar)s^i,$$

for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and, for $i \geq 0$, $a_i(\hbar) := \sum_{j \geq 0} a_{ij}\hbar^j \in \mathbb{C}^{\hbar}$.

Set $b_0(s) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_{i0}s^i$.

Since $\mathcal{M}_0 \simeq \mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{D}_Xb_0(t\partial_t)$, \mathcal{M} is specializable if and only if $b_0(s)$ is a non zero polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[s]$.

Example

Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar}/\mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar}(\hbar t\partial_t + 1)$.

Examples

Suppose that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar}/\mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar}b(t\partial_t)$, where $b(s)$ is a polynomial in $\mathbb{C}^{\hbar}[s]$,

$$b(s) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i(\hbar)s^i,$$

for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and, for $i \geq 0$, $a_i(\hbar) := \sum_{j \geq 0} a_{ij}\hbar^j \in \mathbb{C}^{\hbar}$.

Set $b_0(s) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_{i0}s^i$.

Since $\mathcal{M}_0 \simeq \mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{D}_X b_0(t\partial_t)$, \mathcal{M} is specializable if and only if $b_0(s)$ is a non zero polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[s]$.

Example

Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar}/\mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar}(\hbar t\partial_t + 1)$. Clearly $\mathcal{M}_0 = 0$ hence $\mathcal{M}_n = 0$ for every n , which entails $\nu_Y^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M}) = 0$.

Example

Assume that $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar}(t\partial_t - \hbar)$.

Example

Assume that $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar}(t\partial_t - \hbar)$.

It is not hard to prove that \mathcal{M}_n can be identified with $\mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{D}_X(t\partial_t)^{n+1}$.

Example

Assume that $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar}(t\partial_t - \hbar)$.

It is not hard to prove that \mathcal{M}_n can be identified with $\mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{D}_X(t\partial_t)^{n+1}$.

Therefore, denoting by (x, τ) the associated coordinates in $T_Y X$, we obtain $\nu_Y(\mathcal{M}_n) \simeq \mathcal{D}_{T_Y X}/\mathcal{D}_{T_Y X}(\tau\partial_\tau)^{n+1}$ and it follows that

$$\nu_Y^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M}) = \varprojlim_n \nu_Y(\mathcal{M}_n) \simeq \frac{\mathcal{D}_{T_Y X}^{\hbar}}{\mathcal{D}_{T_Y X}^{\hbar}(\tau\partial_\tau - \hbar)}.$$

The (regular) holonomic case.

Recall that following [DGS] an object $\mathcal{M} \in D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{D}_X^h)$ is **holonomic** (resp. **regular holonomic**) if $gr_{\hbar}(\mathcal{M})$ is an object of $D_{hol}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ (resp. $D_{rh}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$).

The (regular) holonomic case.

Recall that following [DGS] an object $\mathcal{M} \in D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{D}_X^h)$ is **holonomic** (resp. **regular holonomic**) if $gr_{\hbar}(\mathcal{M})$ is an object of $D_{hol}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ (resp. $D_{rh}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$).

Moreover, every holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -module is specializable along any submanifold Y , and the specialized is also a holonomic module.

The (regular) holonomic case.

Recall that following [DGS] an object $\mathcal{M} \in D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{D}_X^h)$ is **holonomic** (resp. **regular holonomic**) if $gr_{\hbar}(\mathcal{M})$ is an object of $D_{hol}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ (resp. $D_{rh}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$).

Moreover, every holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -module is specializable along any submanifold Y , and the specialized is also a holonomic module.

Similarly, we have:

Corollary

Any holonomic \mathcal{D}_X^h -module \mathcal{M} is specializable along any submanifold Y . Moreover $\nu_Y^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M})$ is a holonomic \mathcal{D}_{YX}^h -module. If \mathcal{M} is regular holonomic, so is $\nu_Y^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M})$.

Comparison Theorems

Recall that Kashiwara constructed for a regular holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -module \mathcal{M} (or, more generally, for an object of $D_{rh}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$), canonical isomorphisms in $D^b(\mathbb{C}_{T_Y X})$

$$\text{Sol}_{\mathcal{D}_{T_Y X}}(\nu_Y(\mathcal{M})) \simeq \nu_Y(\text{Sol}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M})),$$

$$\text{DR}_{\mathcal{D}_{T_Y X}}(\nu_Y(\mathcal{M})) \simeq \nu_Y(\text{DR}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M})).$$

Comparison Theorems

Recall that Kashiwara constructed for a regular holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -module \mathcal{M} (or, more generally, for an object of $D_{rh}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$), canonical isomorphisms in $D^b(\mathbb{C}_{T_Y X})$

$$\text{Sol}_{\mathcal{D}_{T_Y X}}(\nu_Y(\mathcal{M})) \simeq \nu_Y(\text{Sol}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M})),$$

$$\text{DR}_{\mathcal{D}_{T_Y X}}(\nu_Y(\mathcal{M})) \simeq \nu_Y(\text{DR}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M})).$$

Theorem

For \mathcal{M} a regular holonomic \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} -module, there are canonical isomorphisms in $D_{\mathbb{C}-c}^b(\mathbb{C}_{T_Y X}^{\hbar})$:

- ① $\text{DR}_{\hbar}(\nu_Y^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M})) \simeq \nu_Y(\text{DR}_{\hbar}(\mathcal{M}));$
- ② $\text{Sol}_{\hbar}(\nu_Y^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M})) \simeq \nu_Y(\text{Sol}_{\hbar}(\mathcal{M})).$

Tools

Our main tools were:

Lemma

Let $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}' \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathcal{M}'' \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence in $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar})$ and suppose that \mathcal{M}'' has no \hbar -torsion. Then, for each $n \geq 0$, the sequence of $(\mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar})_n$ -modules:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}'_n \xrightarrow{\phi_n} \mathcal{M}_n \xrightarrow{\psi_n} \mathcal{M}''_n \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.1)$$

is exact.

Corollary

Let \mathcal{M} be a \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} -module. Then, for each $n \geq 0$, the following sequence is exact:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tor}_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tf}_n} \rightarrow 0.$$

Corollary

Let \mathcal{M} be a \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} -module. Then, for each $n \geq 0$, the following sequence is exact:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tor}_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tf}_n} \rightarrow 0.$$

- the exactness of $\Gamma(K, \cdot)$ for K belonging to the family of Stein compact subsets of X ;

Corollary

Let \mathcal{M} be a \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} -module. Then, for each $n \geq 0$, the following sequence is exact:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tor}_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tf}_n} \rightarrow 0.$$

- the exactness of $\Gamma(K, \cdot)$ for K belonging to the family of Stein compact subsets of X ;
- the exactness of projective limit on the category of projective systems satisfying Mittag-Leffler's condition;

Corollary

Let \mathcal{M} be a \mathcal{D}_X^h -module. Then, for each $n \geq 0$, the following sequence is exact:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{h\text{-tor}_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{h\text{-tf}_n} \rightarrow 0.$$

- the exactness of $\Gamma(K, \cdot)$ for K belonging to the family of Stein compact subsets of X ;
- the exactness of projective limit on the category of projective systems satisfying Mittag-Leffler's condition;
- the subcategory of specializable \mathcal{D}_X -modules is a Serre subcategory of $\text{Mod}_{\text{coh}}(\mathcal{D}_X)$;

Corollary

Let \mathcal{M} be a \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} -module. Then, for each $n \geq 0$, the following sequence is exact:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tor}_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tf}_n} \rightarrow 0.$$

- the exactness of $\Gamma(K, \cdot)$ for K belonging to the family of Stein compact subsets of X ;
- the exactness of projective limit on the category of projective systems satisfying Mittag-Leffler's condition;
- the subcategory of specializable \mathcal{D}_X -modules is a Serre subcategory of $\text{Mod}_{\text{coh}}(\mathcal{D}_X)$;
- the family $\{\mathcal{M}_n\}_n$ is locally stationary for coherent \mathcal{D}_X^{\hbar} -modules, that is, locally there exists $N \geq 1$ such that $\hbar^N \mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tor}} = 0$.

Extension Functors

Let \mathcal{A} be a $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$ -algebra satisfying either (i), (ii) and (iii) or (i), (ii) and (iv) of the following Assumption:

Assumption 1

Consider the following conditions:

- (i) \mathcal{A} has no \hbar -torsion and is \hbar -complete,
- (ii) \mathcal{A}_0 is a left Noetherian ring,
- (iii) there exists a basis \mathcal{B} of open subsets of X such that for any $U \in \mathcal{B}$ and any coherent $(\mathcal{A}_0|_U)$ -module \mathcal{F} we have $H^n(U; \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for any $n > 0$,

Assumption 1

- (iv) there exists a basis \mathcal{B} of compact subsets of X and a prestack $U \mapsto \text{Mod}_{\text{good}}(\mathcal{A}_0|_U)$ (U open in X) such that
- (a) for any $K \in \mathcal{B}$ and an open subset U such that $K \subset U$, there exists $K' \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $K \subset \text{Int}(K') \subset K' \subset U$,
 - (b) $U \mapsto \text{Mod}_{\text{good}}(\mathcal{A}_0|_U)$ is a full subprestack of $U \mapsto \text{Mod}_{\text{coh}}(\mathcal{A}_0|_U)$,
 - (c) for an open subset U and $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}_{\text{coh}}(\mathcal{A}_0|_U)$, if $\mathcal{M}|_V$ belongs to $\text{Mod}_{\text{good}}(\mathcal{A}_0|_V)$ for any relatively compact open subset V of U , then \mathcal{M} belongs to $\text{Mod}_{\text{good}}(\mathcal{A}_0|_U)$,
 - (d) for any U open in X , $\text{Mod}_{\text{good}}(\mathcal{A}_0|_U)$ is stable by subobjects (and hence, by quotient) in $\text{Mod}_{\text{coh}}(\mathcal{A}_0|_U)$,
 - (e) for any $K \in \mathcal{B}$, any open set U containing K , any $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}_{\text{good}}(\mathcal{A}_0|_U)$ and any $j > 0$, one has $H^j(K; \mathcal{M}) = 0$,
 - (f) for any $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}_{\text{coh}}(\mathcal{A}_0|_U)$, there exists an open covering $U = \bigcup_i U_i$ such that $\mathcal{M}|_{U_i} \in \text{Mod}_{\text{good}}(\mathcal{A}_0|_{U_i})$,
 - (g) $\mathcal{A}_0 \in \text{Mod}_{\text{good}}(\mathcal{A}_0)$.

Let be given and fixed a full Serre subcategory \mathcal{S} of $\text{Mod}_{coh}(\mathcal{A})$.

Let be given and fixed a full Serre subcategory \mathcal{S} of $\text{Mod}_{coh}(\mathcal{A})$.

We shall denote by \mathcal{S}_n , for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the full Serre subcategory of $\text{Mod}_{coh}(\mathcal{A}_n)$:

$$\mathcal{S}_n = \mathcal{S} \cap \text{Mod}_{coh}(\mathcal{A}_n).$$

Let be given and fixed a full Serre subcategory \mathcal{S} of $\text{Mod}_{coh}(\mathcal{A})$.

We shall denote by \mathcal{S}_n , for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the full Serre subcategory of $\text{Mod}_{coh}(\mathcal{A}_n)$:

$$\mathcal{S}_n = \mathcal{S} \cap \text{Mod}_{coh}(\mathcal{A}_n).$$

Definition

We denote by $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A})$ the full subcategory of $\text{Mod}_{\text{coh}}(\mathcal{A})$ consisting of \mathcal{A} -modules \mathcal{M} such that, for each $n \geq 0$, the complex $gr_h^n(\mathcal{M})$ belongs to $D_{\mathcal{S}}^b(\mathcal{A})$, that is, both ${}_n\mathcal{M}$ and \mathcal{M}_n are objects of \mathcal{S}_n .

Definition

We denote by $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A})$ the full subcategory of $\text{Mod}_{\text{coh}}(\mathcal{A})$ consisting of \mathcal{A} -modules \mathcal{M} such that, for each $n \geq 0$, the complex $gr_{\hbar}^n(\mathcal{M})$ belongs to $D_{\mathcal{S}}^b(\mathcal{A})$, that is, both ${}_n\mathcal{M}$ and \mathcal{M}_n are objects of \mathcal{S}_n .

Proposition

Let \mathcal{M} be an \hbar -torsion \mathcal{A} -module. Then the following properties are equivalent:

- ① $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A})$;
- ② Locally there exists an integer $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that \mathcal{M} is an \mathcal{A}_N -module and $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{S}_N$.

Proposition

Given an \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{M} , the following properties are equivalent:

- 1 \mathcal{M} is an object of the category $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A})$;
- 2 $\mathcal{M}_0 \in \mathcal{S}_0$;
- 3 $\mathcal{M}_n \in \mathcal{S}_n$, for each $n \geq 0$.

Proposition

Given an \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{M} , the following properties are equivalent:

- ① \mathcal{M} is an object of the category $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A})$;
- ② $\mathcal{M}_0 \in \mathcal{S}_0$;
- ③ $\mathcal{M}_n \in \mathcal{S}_n$, for each $n \geq 0$.

Proposition

$\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A})$ is a Serre subcategory of $\text{Mod}_{\text{coh}}(\mathcal{A})$ and, in particular, it is abelian.

Extension of right exact functors

Let Y denote another complex analytic manifold and \mathcal{A}' a $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$ -algebra on Y satisfying Assumption 1.

Extension of right exact functors

Let Y denote another complex analytic manifold and \mathcal{A}' a $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$ -algebra on Y satisfying Assumption 1.

Let \mathcal{S}' be a full abelian subcategory of $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}')$ and, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, note as above $\mathcal{S}'_n = \mathcal{S}' \cap \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}'_n)$.

Extension of right exact functors

Let Y denote another complex analytic manifold and \mathcal{A}' a $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$ -algebra on Y satisfying Assumption 1.

Let \mathcal{S}' be a full abelian subcategory of $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}')$ and, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, note as above $\mathcal{S}'_n = \mathcal{S}' \cap \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}'_n)$.
Assume moreover that \mathcal{S}' satisfies the following Assumption:

Assumption 2

Each $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{S}'|_U$ admits, for each $y \in U$, an open neighborhood V of y , such that for each submodule \mathcal{N} of $\mathcal{M}|_V$, if $K \in \mathcal{B}'$ is contained in V , then $H^j(K; \mathcal{N}) = 0$, for any $j > 0$.

Extension of right exact functors

Let Y denote another complex analytic manifold and \mathcal{A}' a $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$ -algebra on Y satisfying Assumption 1.

Let \mathcal{S}' be a full abelian subcategory of $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}')$ and, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, note as above $\mathcal{S}'_n = \mathcal{S}' \cap \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}'_n)$.

Assume moreover that \mathcal{S}' satisfies the following Assumption:

Assumption 2

Each $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{S}'|_U$ admits, for each $y \in U$, an open neighborhood V of y , such that for each submodule \mathcal{N} of $\mathcal{M}|_V$, if $K \in \mathcal{B}'$ is contained in V , then $H^j(K; \mathcal{N}) = 0$, for any $j > 0$.

Recall that this condition is satisfied when the objects of \mathcal{S}' are coherent \mathcal{A} -modules.

Let us consider a $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$ -linear functor $F : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$.

Let us consider a $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$ -linear functor $F : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$.

(If $\hbar^{n+1}\mathcal{M} = 0$ then $\hbar^{n+1}F(\mathcal{M}) = 0$ hence $F_n := F|_{\mathcal{S}_n}$ takes values in \mathcal{S}'_n .)

Let us consider a $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$ -linear functor $F : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$.

(If $\hbar^{n+1}\mathcal{M} = 0$ then $\hbar^{n+1}F(\mathcal{M}) = 0$ hence $F_n := F|_{\mathcal{S}_n}$ takes values in \mathcal{S}'_n .)

Let $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A})$.

Let us consider a $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$ -linear functor $F : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$.

(If $\hbar^{n+1}\mathcal{M} = 0$ then $\hbar^{n+1}F(\mathcal{M}) = 0$ hence $F_n := F|_{\mathcal{S}_n}$ takes values in \mathcal{S}'_n .)

Let $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A})$.

Since $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}'_n)$ is equivalent to the full subcategory of $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}')$ consisting of modules \mathcal{N} satisfying $\hbar^{n+1}\mathcal{N} = 0$, the structure of \mathcal{A}' -module on $F_n(\mathcal{M}_n)$ is compatible with the transition morphisms.

Let us consider a $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$ -linear functor $F : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$.

(If $\hbar^{n+1}\mathcal{M} = 0$ then $\hbar^{n+1}F(\mathcal{M}) = 0$ hence $F_n := F|_{\mathcal{S}_n}$ takes values in \mathcal{S}'_n .)

Let $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A})$.

Since $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}'_n)$ is equivalent to the full subcategory of $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}'_n)$ consisting of modules \mathcal{N} satisfying $\hbar^{n+1}\mathcal{N} = 0$, the structure of \mathcal{A}'_n -module on $F_n(\mathcal{M}_n)$ is compatible with the transition morphisms.

Therefore $(F_n(\mathcal{M}_n), F_n(\rho_{k,n}))$ is a projective system of \mathcal{A}'_n -modules which provides a structure of \mathcal{A}' module on $\varprojlim_{n \geq 0} F_n(\mathcal{M}_n)$.

Definition

We denote by F^h the functor from $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A})$ to $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}')$ defined as follows:

Definition

We denote by F^h the functor from $\text{Mod}_S(\mathcal{A})$ to $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}')$ defined as follows:

- 1 For $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}_S(\mathcal{A})$,

$$F^h(\mathcal{M}) = \varprojlim_{n \geq 0} F_n(\mathcal{M}_n)$$

Definition

We denote by F^h the functor from $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A})$ to $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}')$ defined as follows:

- ① For $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A})$,

$$F^h(\mathcal{M}) = \varprojlim_{n \geq 0} F_n(\mathcal{M}_n)$$

- ② Given a morphism $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ in $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A})$,

$$F^h(f) : F^h(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow F^h(\mathcal{N})$$

is the morphism associated to the morphisms

$$F_n(\mathcal{M}_n) \xrightarrow{F_n(f_n)} F_n(\mathcal{N}_n).$$

Proposition

Let \mathcal{M} be an \hbar -torsion \mathcal{A} -module in $\text{Mod}_S(\mathcal{A})$. Then, for any integer N such that locally $\hbar^{N+1}\mathcal{M} = 0$, one has $F^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M}) \simeq F_N(\mathcal{M})$ in $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}'_N)$.

Proposition

Let \mathcal{M} be an \hbar -torsion \mathcal{A} -module in $\text{Mod}_S(\mathcal{A})$. Then, for any integer N such that locally $\hbar^{N+1}\mathcal{M} = 0$, one has $F^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M}) \simeq F_N(\mathcal{M})$ in $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}'_N)$.

Let us assume that F is **right exact**.

Proposition

Let \mathcal{M} be an \hbar -torsion \mathcal{A} -module in $\text{Mod}_S(\mathcal{A})$. Then, for any integer N such that locally $\hbar^{N+1}\mathcal{M} = 0$, one has $F^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M}) \simeq F_N(\mathcal{M})$ in $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}'_N)$.

Let us assume that F is **right exact**.

Let us denote by $\varrho_n : F^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M}) = \varprojlim_{m \geq 0} F_m(\mathcal{M}_m) \rightarrow F_n(\mathcal{M}_n)$ the canonical projection.

Proposition

Let \mathcal{M} be an \hbar -torsion \mathcal{A} -module in $\text{Mod}_S(\mathcal{A})$. Then, for any integer N such that locally $\hbar^{N+1}\mathcal{M} = 0$, one has $F^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M}) \simeq F_N(\mathcal{M})$ in $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}'_N)$.

Let us assume that F is **right exact**.

Let us denote by $\varrho_n : F^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M}) = \varprojlim_{m \geq 0} F_m(\mathcal{M}_m) \rightarrow F_n(\mathcal{M}_n)$ the canonical projection.

Since $\hbar^{n+1}F_n(\mathcal{M}_n) = 0$, ϱ_n induces a morphism

$$\mathcal{P}_n : F^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M})_n = F^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M}) / \hbar^{n+1}F^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow F_n(\mathcal{M}_n).$$

Proposition

Let $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A})$. Then:

- (i) $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \mathcal{P}_n : F^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M})/\hbar^{n+1}F^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\sim} F_n(\mathcal{M}/\hbar^{n+1}\mathcal{M})$ is an isomorphism. In particular, $F^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M})$ is an \hbar -complete \mathcal{A}' -module.

Proposition

Let $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A})$. Then:

- (i) $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \mathcal{P}_n : F^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M})/\hbar^{n+1}F^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\sim} F_n(\mathcal{M}/\hbar^{n+1}\mathcal{M})$ is an isomorphism. In particular, $F^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M})$ is an \hbar -complete \mathcal{A}' -module.
- (ii) There exists a basis of subsets $\Omega \in \mathcal{B}'$ such that

$$H^i(\Omega; F^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M})) = 0, \forall i > 0.$$

Proposition

Let $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A})$. Then:

- (i) $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \mathcal{P}_n : F^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M})/\hbar^{n+1}F^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\sim} F_n(\mathcal{M}/\hbar^{n+1}\mathcal{M})$ is an isomorphism. In particular, $F^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M})$ is an \hbar -complete \mathcal{A}' -module.
- (ii) There exists a basis of subsets $\Omega \in \mathcal{B}'$ such that

$$H^i(\Omega; F^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M})) = 0, \forall i > 0.$$

Theorem

The functor F^{\hbar} is right exact.

Corollary

For $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A})$ the sequence below is exact:

$$F^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tor}}) \rightarrow F^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow F^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tf}}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Corollary

For $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A})$ the sequence below is exact:

$$F^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tor}}) \rightarrow F^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow F^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M}_{\hbar\text{-tf}}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proposition

Let us assume that \mathcal{S}' is a Serre subcategory of $\text{Mod}_{\text{coh}}(\mathcal{A}')$.

Then for every $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A})$, $F^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M})$ belongs to $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{A}')$.

Extension of exact functors

In this case that F is exact we have the following:

Lemma

Given $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}_S(\mathcal{A})$, if \mathcal{M} is \hbar -torsion free then so is $F^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M})$.

Extension of exact functors

In this case that F is exact we have the following:

Lemma

Given $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}_S(\mathcal{A})$, if \mathcal{M} is \hbar -torsion free then so is $F^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M})$.

Theorem

F^{\hbar} is also an exact functor.

To prove this we need the following results:

To prove this we need the following results:

Lemma

The sequence of \mathcal{A}' -modules

$$0 \rightarrow F^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M}_{\hbar-tor}) \rightarrow F^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow F^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M}_{\hbar-tf}) \rightarrow 0 \quad (4.1)$$

is exact.

To prove this we need the following results:

Lemma

The sequence of \mathcal{A}' -modules

$$0 \rightarrow F^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M}_{\hbar-tor}) \rightarrow F^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow F^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M}_{\hbar-tf}) \rightarrow 0 \quad (4.1)$$

is exact.

Lemma

For any $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}_S(\mathcal{A})$, $F^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M})$ is $c\hbar c$.

Corollary

For every $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A})$ and $n \geq 0$ one has

$${}_n(F^h(\mathcal{M})) \simeq F_n({}_n\mathcal{M}).$$

Corollary

For every $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A})$ and $n \geq 0$ one has

$${}_n(F^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M})) \simeq F_n({}_n\mathcal{M}).$$

Corollary

For every $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A})$ and $n \geq 0$, we have a family of isomorphisms in $D^b(\mathcal{A}'_n)$:

$$H^j \text{gr}_{\hbar}^n(F^{\hbar}(\mathcal{M})) \simeq F_n(H^j \text{gr}_{\hbar}^n(\mathcal{M})), \forall j.$$

Given a functor $\mathcal{G} : \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}')$ and a functor $F : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$, we shall say that \mathcal{G} extends F if

Given a functor $\mathcal{G} : \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}')$ and a functor $F : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$, we shall say that \mathcal{G} extends F if

$$H^j(\text{gr}_h^n \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{M})) \simeq F_n(H^j \text{gr}_h^n(\mathcal{M})), \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Given a functor $\mathcal{G} : \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}')$ and a functor $F : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$, we shall say that \mathcal{G} extends F if

$$H^j(\text{gr}_h^n \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{M})) \simeq F_n(H^j \text{gr}_h^n(\mathcal{M})), \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Let \mathcal{G} be such an extension.

Given a functor $\mathcal{G} : \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}')$ and a functor $F : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$, we shall say that \mathcal{G} extends F if

$$H^j(\text{gr}_h^n \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{M})) \simeq F_n(H^j \text{gr}_h^n(\mathcal{M})), \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Let \mathcal{G} be such an extension.

We obtain a canonical morphism for each $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A})$:

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \varprojlim_n (\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}))_n \simeq \varprojlim_n F_n(\mathcal{M}_n).$$

Given a functor $\mathcal{G} : \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}')$ and a functor $F : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$, we shall say that \mathcal{G} extends F if

$$H^j(\text{gr}_h^n \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{M})) \simeq F_n(H^j \text{gr}_h^n(\mathcal{M})), \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Let \mathcal{G} be such an extension.

We obtain a canonical morphism for each $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A})$:

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \varprojlim_n (\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}))_n \simeq \varprojlim_n F_n(\mathcal{M}_n). \quad (4.2)$$

This morphism induces a canonical morphism $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow F^h(\mathcal{M})$.

Given a functor $\mathcal{G} : \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}')$ and a functor $F : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$, we shall say that \mathcal{G} extends F if

$$H^j(\text{gr}_h^n \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{M})) \simeq F_n(H^j \text{gr}_h^n(\mathcal{M})), \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Let \mathcal{G} be such an extension.

We obtain a canonical morphism for each $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A})$:

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \varprojlim_n (\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}))_n \simeq \varprojlim_n F_n(\mathcal{M}_n). \quad (4.2)$$

This morphism induces a canonical morphism $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow F^h(\mathcal{M})$.

Therefore, such an extension, if it exists and takes values in the category of $c\hbar c$ objects, is **unique up to isomorphism**.

Theorem

Let X and Y be complex manifolds, let \mathcal{A} (resp. \mathcal{A}') be a formal deformation algebra on X (resp. on Y) and let \mathcal{S} (resp. \mathcal{S}') be a full Serre subcategory of $\text{Mod}_{\text{coh}}(\mathcal{A})$ (resp. a full abelian subcategory of $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}')$ satisfying the Assumption 2).

Theorem

Let X and Y be complex manifolds, let \mathcal{A} (resp. \mathcal{A}') be a formal deformation algebra on X (resp. on Y) and let \mathcal{S} (resp. \mathcal{S}') be a full Serre subcategory of $\text{Mod}_{\text{coh}}(\mathcal{A})$ (resp. a full abelian subcategory of $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}')$ satisfying the Assumption 2).

Let $F : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$ be a right exact functor.

Theorem

Let X and Y be complex manifolds, let \mathcal{A} (resp. \mathcal{A}') be a formal deformation algebra on X (resp. on Y) and let \mathcal{S} (resp. \mathcal{S}') be a full Serre subcategory of $\text{Mod}_{\text{coh}}(\mathcal{A})$ (resp. a full abelian subcategory of $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}')$ satisfying the Assumption 2).

Let $F : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$ be a right exact functor.

Then F defines canonically a right exact functor

$$F^h : \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}')$$

Theorem

Let X and Y be complex manifolds, let \mathcal{A} (resp. \mathcal{A}') be a formal deformation algebra on X (resp. on Y) and let \mathcal{S} (resp. \mathcal{S}') be a full Serre subcategory of $\text{Mod}_{\text{coh}}(\mathcal{A})$ (resp. a full abelian subcategory of $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}')$ satisfying the Assumption 2).

Let $F : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$ be a right exact functor.

Then F defines canonically a right exact functor

$$F^h : \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}')$$

and when \mathcal{S}' is a Serre subcategory of $\text{Mod}_{\text{coh}}(\mathcal{A}')$, F^h takes values in $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{A}')$.

Theorem

Let X and Y be complex manifolds, let \mathcal{A} (resp. \mathcal{A}') be a formal deformation algebra on X (resp. on Y) and let \mathcal{S} (resp. \mathcal{S}') be a full Serre subcategory of $\text{Mod}_{\text{coh}}(\mathcal{A})$ (resp. a full abelian subcategory of $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}')$ satisfying the Assumption 2).

Let $F : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$ be a right exact functor.

Then F defines canonically a right exact functor

$$F^h : \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}')$$

and when \mathcal{S}' is a Serre subcategory of $\text{Mod}_{\text{coh}}(\mathcal{A}')$, F^h takes values in $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{A}')$.

Moreover, when F is exact, F^h is an exact functor $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}')$ and is the **unique extension** of F .