

Preprint N^o 2013/007

CMAF, Universidade de Lisboa

Existence of optimal controls for a stochastic nonlinear
nonlocal parabolic equation [☆]

Edson A. Coayla-Teran

*Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal da Bahia-UFBA,
Av. Ademar de Barros s/n, Ondina, Salvador, BA, Brazil, CEP 40170-110, e-mail:
coayla@ufba.br*

Paulo Marcelo Dias de Magalhães

*Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal de Ouro Preto-UFOP, Ouro Preto,
MG, Brazil, CEP 35400-000, e-mail: pmdm@iceb.ufop.br*

Jorge Ferreira

*Unidade Acadêmica de Garanhuns - UAG, Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco -
UFRPE, Av. Bom Pastor s/n, Boa Vista - Garanhuns - PE, CEP 55292 901, Brazil -
CMAF, Universidade de Lisboa - Av. Prof. Gama Pinto, 2, 1649-003 Lisboa, Portugal
e-mail: ferreirajorge2012@gmail.com*

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to investigate the existence of optimal controls for a stochastic nonlinear parabolic equation of nonlocal type driven by a real Wiener process, controlled by different external forces which are feedback controls.

Keywords: stochastic partial differential equation, stochastic optimal

[☆]Supported by CNPq Grant 480356/2010-6

1. Introduction

In this paper we will study the existence of an optimal control which minimizes the cost function $\mathcal{J}(\Phi)$ with Φ belonging to \mathcal{U} , the set of controls associated with the controlled initial-boundary value problem involving a SPDE:

$$\begin{cases} du(t) = (a(\int_D u dx)\Delta u + \Phi(t, u))dt + g(t, u)dW(t) & \text{on } t \in]0, T[, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) & \text{on } D \text{ and } u(x, t) = 0 & \text{on } \partial D \times]0, T[\end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where D is a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^n with boundary ∂D , $n \geq 1$, $a = a(s)$ is a continuous function with Lipschitz constant L such that $0 < p \leq a(s) \leq P$ where p and P are constants and $W(t)$ is a real Wiener process.

The problem of the existence of an optimal control for SPDE has been studied by several authors, for example, by Nagase [9], Buckdahn and Răşcanu [3], Gatarek and Sobczyk [8]. The results of these papers cannot be applied in the study of the equation in (1) because they assume semilinearity or boundedness for the nonlinearities. In [2], the existence of optimal controls for the stochastic Navier - Stokes equation was studied.

The existence and uniqueness of the solutions for the deterministic non local problem:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = a\left(\int_D u dx\right)\Delta u + \Phi(x, t) & \text{on } D \times]0, T[, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) & \text{on } D \text{ and } u(x, t) = 0 & \text{on } \partial D \times]0, T[, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

was studied by M. Chipot and B. Lovat [4]. This problem arises in various situations, for instance u could describe the density of a population (of bacteria, for example) subject to spreading. The diffusion coefficient a is then supposed to depend on the entire population in the domain rather than on the local density, that is, movements are guided by considering the global state of the medium.

A possible interpretation of the random forcing term “ $g(t, u)dW(t)$ ” in the model (1) is that there is rapidly fluctuations affecting the state.

The article is organized in the following way: in Section 2, we present

the basic spaces, the norms, properties and notations which we are going to work with in the subsequent sections; in Section 3, we formulate the control problem, which is the goal of this work; in Section 4, we prove the existence of an optimal control. The idea is to prove that a minimizing sequence has a subsequence which converges weakly (see Lemma 4.1). Then we prove that weak convergence of the feedback controls implies strong convergence of a subsequence of the corresponding solutions (see Theorems 4.1 and 4.2).

2. Preliminares and notations

To simplify notation we use the letter \mathbb{T} for the interval $[0, T]$. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a complete probability space, $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$ a right-continuous filtration such that \mathcal{F}_0 contains all \mathcal{F} -null sets and let $E(X)$ denotes the mathematical expectation of the random variable X . We abbreviate “almost surely $\omega \in \Omega$.” to a.s.

Let B be a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|_B$ and let $\mathcal{B}(B)$ denote the Borel σ -algebra of B . The space $L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{T}; B)$ is the set of all $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T})$ -measurable processes $u : \Omega \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow B$ which are \mathcal{F}_t -adapted and $E(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \|u\|_B^2 dt) < \infty$. In this work, $(W(t))_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$ is a real Wiener process \mathcal{F}_t -adapted. Various constants will be denoted by c and $1_D := \int_D dx$.

We will denote by $H^1(D)$ the usual Sobolev space with norm $\|\cdot\|_1$, and inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_1$, $H_0^1(D)$ the Sobolev space with zero boundary condition with dual space $H^{-1}(D)$, $L^2(D)$ with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and inner product (\cdot, \cdot) .

Now, we will state the assumptions on the SPDE in (1):

- i. $\Phi : \mathbb{T} \times L^2(D) \rightarrow L^2(D)$ is a mapping such that
 - a. $\|\Phi(t, u) - \Phi(s, v)\|^2 \leq J|t - s|^2 + K\|u - v\|^2$ for all $t, s \in \mathbb{T}$, $u, v \in L^2(D)$, where J and K are positive constants,
 - b. $\|\Phi(0, 0)\|^2 \leq \mu$ with $\mu > 0$ a constant,
 - c. $\Phi(\cdot, u) \in L^2(\mathbb{T}, L^2(D))$ for all $u \in L^2(D)$;
- ii. $g : \mathbb{T} \times L^2(D) \rightarrow L^2(D)$ is a mapping such that
 - a. $\|g(t, u) - g(t, v)\|^2 \leq \eta\|u - v\|^2$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$, $u, v \in L^2(D)$, where η is a positive constant,
 - b. $g(t, 0) = 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$,
 - c. $g(\cdot, u) \in L^2(\mathbb{T}, L^2(D))$ for all $u \in L^2(D)$;

iii. u_0 is a $L^2(D)$ -valued \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable random variable such that $E\|u_0\|^4 < \infty$.

Remark 2.1. *The condition $g(t, 0) = 0$ (see (ii.b)) is not a restriction. This can be omitted, in which case we can use the estimate $\|g(t, u)\|^2 \leq 2K\|u\|^2 + 2\|g(t, 0)\|^2$.*

We define the map $\mathcal{A} : H_0^1(D) \times H_0^1(D) \rightarrow H^{-1}(D)$ by

$$\langle \mathcal{A}(v, u), \eta \rangle = a \left(\int_D v \, dx \right) (\nabla u, \nabla \eta)$$

for $v, u, \eta \in H_0^1(D)$ and we assume that

$$(\nabla u, \nabla u) = \|u\|_1^2 \quad \text{for all } u \in H_0^1(D).$$

In this work, we understand that the stochastic process u_Φ is a solution to the problem in (1), in the following sense.

Definition 2.1. *Let u_0 be a random variable which does not depend on $W(t)$. The stochastic process $(u_\Phi(t))_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \in L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{T}; H_0^1(D))$, \mathcal{F}_t -adapted, with a.s. sample paths continuous in $L^2(D)$, is a solution to (1) if it satisfies the equation:*

$$\begin{aligned} (u_\Phi(t), v) + \int_0^t \langle \mathcal{A}(u_\Phi(s), u_\Phi(s)), v \rangle \, ds &= (u_0, v) + \int_0^t (\Phi(s, u_\Phi(s)), v) \, ds + \\ &+ \int_0^t (g(s, u_\Phi(s)), v) \, dW(s) \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

a.s. for all $v \in H_0^1(D)$ and $t \in \mathbb{T}$, where the stochastic integral is in the Itô sense.

Uniqueness means indistinguishability.

Theorem 2.1. *The equation in (3) has a unique solution u_Φ which satisfies:*

$$E(\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \|u_\Phi(t)\|^2) + E\left(\int_0^T \|u_\Phi(s)\|_1^2 \, ds\right) \leq cE(\|u_0\|^2) \tag{4}$$

and

$$E(\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \|u_\Phi(t)\|^4) + E\left(\int_0^T \|u_\Phi(s)\|^2 \, ds\right)^2 \leq cE(\|u_0\|^4) \tag{5}$$

where $c = c(K, L, \eta, \mu, p, T)$ is a positive constant.

Proof: The proof of the existence and uniqueness of a solution u_Φ uses the Galerkin approximation and is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1 of [6]. To obtain the estimates in (4) and (5), we use the Burkholder and Schwarz inequalities. \square

Let $\{\alpha_j; w_j\}_1^\infty$ be the eigensystem of $-\Delta$ with domain $H_0^1(D) \cap H^2(D)$. We remark that $\{w_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is an orthonormal set in $L^2(D)$ and orthogonal set in $H_0^1(D)$. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we consider $(L^2(D))_n := \text{span} \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\}$ equipped with the norm induced from $L^2(D)$. We define $\Pi_n : L^2(D) \rightarrow (L^2(D))_n$ as the orthogonal projection such that, $\Pi_n h := \sum_{i=1}^n (h, w_i) w_i$ for $h \in L^2(D)$ and we can extend Π_n to a projection operator $\Pi_n : H^{-1}(D) \rightarrow (H^{-1}(D))_n$ by $\Pi_n v := \sum_{i=1}^n \langle v, w_i \rangle w_i$. We define the following truncations:

$$\mathcal{A}^n v := \Pi_n \mathcal{A} v, \quad \Phi^n := \Pi_n \Phi, \quad g^n := \Pi_n g, \quad u_{0n} := \Pi_n u_0.$$

Now, for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we consider the sequence of finite dimensional evolution equations

$$\begin{aligned} (u_{n,\Phi^n}(t), v) &= (u_{0n}, v) - \int_0^t \langle \mathcal{A}^n(u_{n,\Phi^n}(s), u_{n,\Phi^n}(s)), v \rangle ds + \\ &+ \int_0^t (\Phi^n(s, u_{n,\Phi^n}(s)), v) ds + \int_0^t (g^n(s, u_{n,\Phi^n}(s)), v) dW(s) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

a.s., $v \in (L^2(D))_n$, $t \in \mathbb{T}$.

Theorem 2.2. *For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, the equation in (6) has a unique solution $u_{n,\Phi^n} \in L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{T}, H_0^1(D))$ with a.s. sample paths continuous in $L^2(D)$, which satisfies:*

$$E(\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \|u_{n,\Phi^n}(t)\|^2) + E\left(\int_0^T \|u_{n,\Phi^n}(s)\|_1^2 ds\right) \leq cE(\|u_0\|^2) \quad (7)$$

and

$$E(\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \|u_{n,\Phi^n}(t)\|^4) + E\left(\int_0^T \|u_{n,\Phi^n}(s)\|^2 ds\right)^2 \leq cE(\|u_0\|^4) \quad (8)$$

where $c = c(K, L, \eta, \mu, p, T)$ is a positive constant.

Proof: The proof of the existence and uniqueness of the solution u_{n,Φ^n} follows from Theorem 3 (p. 45) of [8] or Corollary 6.3.1 (p. 112) of [1]. To obtain the estimates in (7) and (8) we use the Burkholder and Schwarz inequalities. \square

3. Formulation of the control problem

We consider the SPDE (1) controlled by *continuous feedback controls* and denote by $\mathcal{U} := \{\Phi : \mathbb{T} \times L^2(D) \rightarrow L^2(D)\}$ the set of the admissible controls satisfying (i). We define the *cost functional*

$$\mathcal{J}(\Phi) := E\left(\int_0^T \left(\mathcal{L}(s, u_\Phi(s)) + \mathcal{K}(\Phi(s, u_\Phi(s)))\right) ds\right) + E(\mathcal{H}(u_\Phi(T))), \quad \Phi \in \mathcal{U} \quad (9)$$

whenever the integral in (9) exists and is finite, with $\mathcal{L} : \mathbb{T} \times H_0^1(D) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $\mathcal{K} : L^2(D) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, and $\mathcal{H} : L^2(D) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$. It is required that the mappings \mathcal{K} , \mathcal{H} , and $u \in L^2(\mathbb{T}; H_0^1(D)) \mapsto \int_0^T \mathcal{L}(s, u(s)) ds$ are weak sequentially lower semicontinuous.

We will study the problem of minimizing \mathcal{J} among the admissible controls which will be denoted by (\mathcal{P}) .

4. Existence of optimal controls

Lemma 4.1. *Let Φ_n be a minimizing sequence for problem \mathcal{P} . There exists a subsequence n_k of n and a mapping $\Phi \in \mathcal{U}$ such that for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$, $x, y \in L^2(D)$, we have*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\Phi_{n_k}(t, x), y) = (\Phi(t, x), y). \quad (10)$$

Proof: Let $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ and $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be dense subsets of \mathbb{T} and $L^2(D)$, respectively. Because the sequence $\{\Phi_n(t_j, d_i)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is bounded for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ we can find a subsequence (n_k) of (n) such that, for all $y \in L^2(D)$ and $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\Phi_{n_k}(t_j, d_i), y) = (\Phi(t_j, d_i), y). \quad (11)$$

For $t \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \{t_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ and $x \in L^2(D) \setminus \{d_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$, there exist sequences $\{t_{i_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and $\{f_{j_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_{i_k} = t$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{j_k} = x$. Then, thanks to (11) and (i.a), it follows that the limit

$$\Phi(t, x) := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\Phi(t_{i_k}, d_{j_k})) \quad (12)$$

exists. From this, (11) and (i.a) we have (10). The weak convergence and (i.a) imply that Φ satisfies (i.a) and (i.b). \square

For simplicity the subsequence of $\{\Phi_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ obtained in the previous

lemma will be relabeled as the same, for this sequence and Φ as in the last lemma let us consider the equation

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{u}_{\Phi_n}(t), v) &= (u_0, v) - \int_0^t \langle \mathcal{A}(u_{\Phi}(s), \hat{u}_{\Phi_n}(s)), v \rangle ds + \\ &+ \int_0^t (\Phi_n(s, u_{\Phi}(s)), v) ds + \int_0^t (g(s, u_{\Phi}(s)), v) dW(s) \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

a.s., $v \in (L^2(D))_n$, $t \in \mathbb{T}$ and for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. There is a unique process $\hat{u}_{\Phi_n} \in L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{T}; H_0^1(D))$ which is a solution of (13) with a.s. continuous trajectories in $L^2(D)$ (see Theorem 7.2 [5]) satisfying:

$$E(\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \|\hat{u}_{\Phi_n}(t)\|^4) + E\left(\int_0^T \|\hat{u}_{\Phi_n}(s)\|_1^2 ds\right)^2 \leq c(E(\|u_0\|^4) + E(\int_0^T \|u_{\Phi}(s)\|^4 ds)) \quad (14)$$

where c is a positive constant independent of n .

To obtain the estimates in (14) we use the Burkholder and Schwarz inequalities.

Theorem 4.1. *The solution to (3) and (13) satisfies:*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E\left(\int_0^T \|(u_{\Phi} - \hat{u}_{\Phi_n})(s)\|_1^2 ds\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\|(u_{\Phi} - \hat{u}_{\Phi_n})(T)\|^2) = 0.$$

Proof: Let us consider the equation

$$(z(t), v) = (u_0, v) - \int_0^t \langle \mathcal{A}(u_{\Phi}(s), z(s)), v \rangle ds + \int_0^t (g(s, u_{\Phi}(s)), v) dW(s) \quad (15)$$

a.s., $v \in H_0^1(D)$ and $t \in \mathbb{T}$. There exists a unique solution $z \in L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{T}; H_0^1(D))$ of (15), which has a.s. continuous trajectories in $L^2(D)$. By using the Gronwall lemma, we get the estimate

$$E(\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \|z(t)\|^2) + 2pE\left(\int_0^T \|z(s)\|_1^2 ds\right) \leq k\left(E(\|u_0\|^2) + E\left(\int_0^T \|u_{\Phi}(s)\|^2 ds\right)\right).$$

From this and (7), there exists $k_2(\omega) > 0$ independent of n , such that for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \|\Pi_n z(t)\|^2 &\leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \|z(t)\|^2 \leq k_2(\omega), \\ \int_0^T \|\Pi_n z(s)\|_1^2 ds &\leq \int_0^T \|z(s)\|_1^2 ds \leq k_2(\omega) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \|\Pi_n u_\Phi(t)\|^2 &\leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \|u_\Phi(t)\|^2 \leq k_2(\omega), \\ \int_0^T \|\Pi_n u_\Phi(s)\|_1^2 ds &\leq \int_0^T \|u_\Phi(s)\|_1^2 ds \leq k_2(\omega). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Using stochastic integral properties and (5), we obtain that for all $s, t \in \mathbb{T}$, $t > s$,

$$E(\|\int_s^t g(r, u_\Phi(r))dW(r)\|_{-1}^4) \leq c(t-s)^2 E(\|u_0\|^4)$$

As a result of the Kolmogorov continuity test, we get a random variable H such that

$$\|\int_s^t g(r, u_\Phi(r))dW(r)\|_{-1}^2 \leq H(\omega)|t-s|^{2\gamma} \quad (18)$$

a.s. with $0 < \gamma < \frac{1}{4}$ and for every $t, s \in \mathbb{T}$.

Let $\bar{\Omega} \subset \Omega$ with $\mathbb{P}(\bar{\Omega}) = 1$ such that for $\omega \in \bar{\Omega}$ the equations in (3) and (15) are satisfied and, for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, (13) is also satisfied and the inequalities in (16), (17) and (18) are satisfied.

From (13), (15), (17) and properties \mathcal{A} and Φ_n , it follows that for $\omega \in \bar{\Omega}$,

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \|(\hat{u}_{\Phi_n} - z)(t)\|^2 + p \int_0^T \|(\hat{u}_{\Phi_n} - z)(s)\|_1^2 ds \leq \frac{JT + \eta}{p} + \frac{1}{p} \int_0^T \|u_{\Phi(s)}\|_1^2 ds \leq k(\omega),$$

where $k(\omega)$ is independent of n . Hence, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we obtain

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \|\hat{u}_{\Phi_n}(t)\|^2 + p \int_0^T \|\hat{u}_{\Phi_n}(s)\|_1^2 ds \leq k(\omega) \quad (19)$$

for $\omega \in \bar{\Omega}$, where $k(\omega)$ is a positive constant independent of n .

For $\omega \in \bar{\Omega}$, we consider the sequence

$$F(\omega) := \{\hat{u}_{\Phi_n}(\omega, \cdot)\}_{n=1}^\infty,$$

which is bounded because of (19).

From (13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{u}_{\Phi_n}(t) - \hat{u}_{\Phi_n}(s)\|_{-1}^2 &\leq (t-s) \int_s^t (\|\mathcal{A}(u_\Phi(r), \hat{u}_{\Phi_n}(r))\|_{-1}^2 + \|\Phi_n(r, u_\Phi(r))\|_{-1}^2) dr + \\ &+ \|\int_s^t g(r, u_\Phi(r))dW(r)\|_{-1}^2, \end{aligned}$$

for each $t, s \in \mathbb{T}$, $t > s$. From this, (18), (19) and the properties of \mathcal{A} , Φ_n , we get

$$\|\hat{u}_{\Phi_n}(t) - \hat{u}_{\Phi_n}(s)\|_{-1}^2 \leq k(\omega)(t-s) + H(\omega)(t-s)^{2\gamma}$$

for $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$ and where $k(\omega) > 0$ is independent of n .

Consequently, $F(\omega)$ is equi-continuous in $C([0, T], H^{-1}(D))$. Now, using Dubinsky's Theorem, (see [10], Theorem 4.1, p. 132), it follows that $F(\omega)$ is relatively compact in $L^2(\mathbb{T}; L^2(D))$. Thus there exists a subsequence n_k of n and $\hat{u} \in L^2(\mathbb{T}; L^2(D))$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \|(\hat{u}_{\Phi_{n_k}} - \hat{u})(s)\|^2 ds = 0. \quad (20)$$

From (13), (3) and the properties of \mathcal{A} , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{u}_{\Phi_{n_k}}(T) - u_{\Phi}(T)\|^2 &+ 2p \int_0^T \|(\hat{u}_{\Phi_{n_k}} - u_{\Phi})(t)\|_1^2 dt \leq \\ &\leq \int_0^T (\Phi_{n_k}(t, u_{\Phi}(t)) - \Phi(t, u_{\Phi}(t)), (\hat{u}_{\Phi_{n_k}} - \hat{u})(t)) dt + \\ &+ \int_0^T (\Phi_{n_k}(t, u_{\Phi}(t)) - \Phi(t, u_{\Phi}(t)), (\hat{u} - u)(t)) dt. \end{aligned}$$

We use Lemma 4.1, (20) and the properties of Φ_n and Φ to obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(\hat{u}_{\Phi_{n_k}} - u_{\Phi})(T)\|^2 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \|(\hat{u}_{\Phi_{n_k}} - u_{\Phi})(t)\|_1^2 dt = 0.$$

Since every subsequence of $(\hat{u}_{\Phi_n}(\omega, \cdot))$ has a subsequence which converges to the same limit $u_{\Phi}(\omega, \cdot)$ in the space $L^2(0, T; H_0^1(D))$, it follows that the sequence $(\hat{u}_{\Phi_n}(\omega, \cdot))$ converges to $u_{\Phi}(\omega, \cdot)$. Similarly, we can conclude that $(\hat{u}_{\Phi_n}(\omega, T))$ converges to $u_{\Phi}(\omega, T)$ in $L^2(D)$.

From Theorem 2.1 and (14), the processes $(\hat{u}_{\Phi_n})_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$ and $(u_{\Phi})_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$ are uniformly integrable and thus the theorem follows. \square

Let $(Q(t))$ be a $H_0^1(D)$ -valued process with

$$\int_0^T \|Q(s)\|_1^2 ds < \infty \text{ and } \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \|Q(t)\|^2 < \infty \text{ a.s.}$$

For each M , a nonnegative integer, we define the following stopping times:

$$\bar{\mathcal{T}}_M^Q := \begin{cases} \inf \left\{ t \in \mathbb{T} : \int_0^t \|Q(s)\|_1^2 ds \geq M \right\}, \\ T, \text{ if } \int_0^T \|Q(s)\|_1^2 ds < M, \end{cases}$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_M^Q := \begin{cases} \inf \{t \in \mathbb{T} : \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \|Q(t)\|^2 \geq M\} \\ T, \text{ if } \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \|Q(t)\|^2 < M \end{cases}$$

and $\mathcal{T}_M^Q := \min \{\bar{\mathcal{T}}_M^Q, \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_M^Q\}$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\{\Phi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be as in the last theorem. There is a subsequence n_k of n such that*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E \left(\int_0^T \|(u_\Phi - u_{\Phi_{n_k}})(s)\|_1^2 ds \right) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E(\|(u_\Phi - u_{\Phi_{n_k}})(T)\|^2) = 0.$$

Proof: For the sake of convenience, we use the abbreviations, $u := u_\Phi$ and $\mathcal{T}_M := \mathcal{T}_M^u$ for $M = 1, 2, \dots$

Let $e(t) := \int_0^t \exp(-\frac{2K}{p} - 2\eta - 2L^2 1_D \|u(s)\|_1^2) ds$. As a result of the Itô formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & e(\mathcal{T}_M) \|\hat{u}_{\Phi_n}(\mathcal{T}_M) - u_{\Phi_n}(\mathcal{T}_M)\|^2 + \\ + 2 \int_0^{\mathcal{T}_M} e(s) \langle \mathcal{A}(u(s), \hat{u}_{\Phi_n}(s)) - \mathcal{A}(u_{\Phi_n}(s), u_{\Phi_n}(s)), (\hat{u}_{\Phi_n} - u_{\Phi_n})(s) \rangle ds = \\ & + 2 \int_0^{\mathcal{T}_M} e(s) (\Phi_n(s, u(s)) - \Phi_n(s, u_{\Phi_n}(s)), (\hat{u}_{\Phi_n} - u_{\Phi_n})(s)) ds + \\ + 2 \int_0^{\mathcal{T}_M} e(s) (g(s, u(s)) - g(s, u_{\Phi_n}(s)), (\hat{u}_{\Phi_n} - u_{\Phi_n})(s)) dW(s) + \\ & + \int_0^{\mathcal{T}_M} e(s) \|g(s, u(s)) - g(s, u_{\Phi_n}(s))\|^2 ds + \\ & + \int_0^{\mathcal{T}_M} e'(s) \|(\hat{u}_{\Phi_n} - u_{\Phi_n})(s)\|^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

We first observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{A}(u, \hat{u}_{\Phi_n}) - \mathcal{A}(u_{\Phi_n}, u_{\Phi_n}), (\hat{u}_{\Phi_n} - u_{\Phi_n}) \rangle &= \\ &= \langle \mathcal{A}(u, \hat{u}_{\Phi_n}) - \mathcal{A}(u_{\Phi_n}, \hat{u}_{\Phi_n}), (\hat{u}_{\Phi_n} - u_{\Phi_n}) \rangle + \\ &+ \langle \mathcal{A}(u_{\Phi_n}, \hat{u}_{\Phi_n} - u_{\Phi_n}), (\hat{u}_{\Phi_n} - u_{\Phi_n}) \rangle = \\ &\left((a \int_D u(x) dx - a \int_D u_{\Phi_n}(x) dx) \nabla \hat{u}_{\Phi_n} - \nabla u, \nabla \hat{u}_{\Phi_n} - u_{\Phi_n} \right) + \\ &+ \left((a \int_D u(x) dx - a \int_D u_{\Phi_n}(x) dx) \nabla u, \nabla \hat{u}_{\Phi_n} - u_{\Phi_n} \right) + \\ &+ \langle \mathcal{A}(u_{\Phi_n}, \hat{u}_{\Phi_n} - u_{\Phi_n}), (\hat{u}_{\Phi_n} - u_{\Phi_n}) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Using the properties of \mathcal{A} , Φ_n and g , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& E(e(\mathcal{T}_M)\|\hat{u}_{\Phi_n}(\mathcal{T}_M) - u_{\Phi_n}(\mathcal{T}_M)\|^2) + \\
& + 2pE\left(\int_0^{\mathcal{T}_M} e(s)\|(\hat{u}_{\Phi_n} - u_{\Phi_n})(s)\|_1^2 ds\right) \leq \\
& + 2E\left(\int_0^{\mathcal{T}_M} e(s)(\Phi_n(s, u(s)) - \Phi_n(s, u_{\Phi_n}(s)), (\hat{u}_{\Phi_n} - u_{\Phi_n})(s)) ds\right) + \\
& + E\left(\int_0^{\mathcal{T}_M} e(s)\|g(s, u(s)) - g(s, u_{\Phi_n}(s))\|^2 ds\right) + \tag{21} \\
& + E\left(\int_0^{\mathcal{T}_M} e'(s)\|(\hat{u}_{\Phi_n} - u_{\Phi_n})(s)\|^2 ds\right) + \\
& + L^2 1_D E\left(\int_0^T e(s)\|(u - u_{\Phi_n})(s)\|^2 \|u(s)\|_1^2 ds\right) + \\
& + PE\left(\int_0^T e(s)\|(\hat{u}_{\Phi_n} - u)(s)\|^2 ds\right).
\end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 4.1, we can get a subsequence $\{\hat{u}_{\Phi_{n_k}}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ that converges to u almost everywhere $(\omega, t) \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T})$. Thus, from (21), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& E((e(\mathcal{T}_M)\|\hat{u}_{\Phi_{n_k}}(\mathcal{T}_M) - u_{\Phi_{n_k}}(\mathcal{T}_M)\|^2) + pE\left(\int_0^{\mathcal{T}_M} e(s)\|(\hat{u}_{\Phi_{n_k}} - u_{\Phi_{n_k}})(s)\|_1^2 ds\right) \leq \\
& 2L^2 1_D E\left(\int_0^T e(s)\|(u - \hat{u}_{\Phi_{n_k}})(s)\|^2 \|u(s)\|_1^2 ds\right) + \\
& t + (P + \frac{2K}{P} + 2\eta)E\left(\int_0^T e(s)\|(\hat{u}_{\Phi_{n_k}} - u)(s)\|^2 ds\right).
\end{aligned}$$

From this, Theorems 4.1, 2.1 and the triangle inequality, we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E\left(\int_0^{\mathcal{T}_M} \|(u_\Phi - u_{\Phi_{n_k}})(s)\|_1^2 ds\right) = \lim_{n' \rightarrow \infty} E(\|(u_\Phi - u_{\Phi_{n_k}})(\mathcal{T}_M)\|^2) = 0,$$

which implies the desired conclusion. \square

Finally, we are in a position to formulate.

Theorem 4.3. *There exists an optimal control for problem (\mathcal{P}) .*

Proof: Let $\{\Phi_n\}$ be a minimizing sequence for problem (\mathcal{P}) . We apply Lemma (4.1) and Theorem (4.2) to this sequence. Thus, there exists a subsequence $\{\Phi_{n_k}\}$ of $\{\Phi_n\}$ and $\Phi \in \mathcal{U}$ such that, for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$, $x, y \in L^2(D)$ and a.s. $\omega \in \Omega$, the following hold:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\Phi_{n_k}(t, u_{\Phi_{n_k}}), y) = (\Phi(t, u_\Phi), y)$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \|(u_{\Phi_{n_k}} - u_\phi)(s)\|_1^2 ds = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(u_{\Phi_{n_k}} - u_\phi)(T)\|^2 = 0.$$

From Theorem (4.2) and the weak sequentially semicontinuity properties of \mathcal{L} , \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{H} , we get

$$\mathcal{J}(\Phi) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{J}(\Phi_{n_k}).$$

Since $\{\Phi_n\}$ is a minimizing sequence for problem (\mathcal{P}) , $\mathcal{J}(\Phi) = \min_{\lambda \in \mathcal{U}} \mathcal{J}(\lambda)$ and thus $\Phi \in \mathcal{U}$ is an optimal feedback control for problem (\mathcal{P}) . \square

- [1] L. Arnold, *Stochastic Differential Equations: Theory and Applications*, (John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1974).
- [2] H. Lisei, Existence of optimal and ϵ -optimal controls for the stochastic Navier - Stokes equation, *Nonlinear Analysis*, **51**, (2002) 95–118.
- [3] R. Buckdahn and A. Răşcanu, On the existence of stochastic optimal control of distributed state system *Nonlinear Analysis*, **52**, (2003) 1153–1184.
- [4] M. Chipot and B. Lovat, Some remarks on nonlocal elliptic and parabolic problems, *Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods and Applications* 30 (7) (1997) 4619–4627.
- [5] P. L. Chow, *Stochastic Partial Differential Equations*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, London, New York, 2007.
- [6] E. Coayla-Teran, Strong Solutions for Stochastic Nonlinear Nonlocal Parabolic Equations, *Stochastics and Dynamics*, Vol. 10, No. 4 (2010) 497–508.
- [7] D. Gatarek and J. Sobczyk, On the existence of optimal controls of Hilbert space-valued diffusions, *SIAM Control and Optimization*, **32**, No 1 (1994) 170-175.
- [8] I. I. Gihman and A. V. Skorohod, *Stochastic Differential Equations*, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974).

- [9] N. Nagase, On the existence of optimal control for controlled stochastic partial differential equations, Nagoya Math. J. 115 (1989) 73 - 85.
- [10] M. I. Vishik, A. V. Fursikov, Mathematical Problems of Statistical Hydromechanics, Kluwer Academic Publishers, London, 1980.